

1 **NORTH OGDEN CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES**

2  
3 January 14, 2015

4  
5 The North Ogden City Council convened in an open meeting on January 14, 2015 at 6:00 p.m. in  
6 the North View Senior Center, 485 E 2550 N. Notice of time, place and agenda of the meeting  
7 was delivered to each member of the City Council, posted on the bulletin board at the municipal  
8 office and posted to the Utah State Website on January 13, 2015. Notice of the annual meeting  
9 schedule was published in the Standard-Examiner on December 21, 2014.

10  
11 **PRESENT:** Brent Taylor Mayor  
12 Kent Bailey Council Member  
13 Lynn Satterthwaite Council Member  
14 Cheryl Stoker Council Member  
15 Phillip Swanson Council Member  
16 James Urry Council Member

17  
18 **STAFF PRESENT:** Bryan Steele City Administrator/Finance Director  
19 Annette Spendlove City Recorder  
20 Jeremy Hindes Police Officer  
21 Doug Larsen Weber County  
22 Shannon Wixon Weber County  
23 Maurine Bachman B2 Land Services  
24 Clark Crowther Police Lieutenant

25  
26 **VISITORS:** Stephen Kendall Kimberly Moranville Marianne Barker  
27 Susan Randall Riggs Ms. Woelich Grant Protzman  
28 Toby Mileski Mike Kuhn Dave Hulme  
29 Edith Kuhn Joan Brown Eric Thomas  
30 Randy Winn Dwane Parker Sue Barker  
31 Donna Parker Rees White John Lang  
32 Pauline White Mike St. Lewis Jeremy Chambers  
33 Debbie St. Lewis Rick Austin Peter Farley  
34 Don Waite Sally Waite Dani Wollschleger  
35 Cecil Rhoades Robin Rhoades Peter Wollschleger  
36 Todd Clark Ruth Berrett Barb Warren  
37 Gary Bland Joan Bland Debbi Kartchner  
38 Steve Rasmussen Michele Jakob Barb Warren  
39 Ron Storey Barbara Storey Shelley Polson  
40 Karen Stoker Max Barker Mary Linda Wright  
41 Shanna Campbell Myrl Slater Matt Smoot  
42 Cindy Smoot Bryce Barker Scott Chambers  
43 Alene Chambers Jeff Johnson Karen Lindley  
44 Stephanie Lindley Susan Clements Susan Riggs  
45 Doug Bronson Deborah Barnes Peggy Barker  
46 Mary Beus Bob Buswell Brent Anderson  
47 Mike St. James Darrell Finn

48 Mayor Taylor welcomed those in attendance.

49

50 Council Member Stoker offered the invocation and led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

51

52 **AGENDA**

53

54 **1. OPEN HOUSE ABOUT MONROE BLVD 6-7 PM**

55

56 Mayor Taylor then used the aid of a PowerPoint presentation to provide information regarding  
57 the history of the Monroe Boulevard project, noting North Ogden, like almost all cities, uses a  
58 grid system composed of different sizes of streets for different purposes. These include arterial  
59 roads, collectors, and local streets.

60

61

62 **2. PRESENTATION 7 PM**

63

64 Mayor Taylor provided an overview of the agenda and presentations planned for the evening.  
65 He asked that all visitors hold questions until the end of the presentations.

66

67

68 **A. HISTORY & STATUS OF MONROE BLVD – PRESENTER: MAYOR BRENT**  
69 **TAYLOR**

70

71 Mayor Taylor used the aid of a PowerPoint presentation to provide information regarding the  
72 history of the Monroe Boulevard project, noting North Ogden, like almost all cities, uses a grid  
73 system composed of different sizes of streets for different purposes. These include arterial roads,  
74 collectors, and local streets. Monroe Boulevard is programmed to be a major collector road,  
75 which is a road that is designed to serve both traffic movement and access; these roads typically  
76 have cross street access, but limited driveway access, medium speeds, and flared-out signalized  
77 intersections at major cross streets. Planned major collectors in North Ogden City include  
78 Pleasant View Drive, 2550 North, 3100 North, and 1700 North. North/south major collectors  
79 include Lakeview Drive, Monroe, and 1050 East. Major collectors in North Ogden are spaced  
80 about every half mile. The major collector cross-section includes 58 feet of pavement with one  
81 12-foot travel lane in each direction and a 14-foot center turn lane. Ten foot shoulders, curb and  
82 gutter, and sidewalk complete the 82-foot right-of-way. He reviewed diagrams of typical major  
83 collector cross-sections. He then reviewed a map taken from the City's 2008 Transportation  
84 Master Plan and identified the location of major collector roads throughout the City. He then  
85 summarized the past history of the Monroe Boulevard project, noting the North Ogden Planning  
86 Commission first recommended that Monroe Boulevard be added to the Master Street Plan in  
87 1959. Neighborhoods to the east and west of the Monroe Boulevard corridor developed  
88 according to the City's Master Street Plan and there is nowhere else a major collector would fit  
89 because of that residential development. Other options were considered, such as Fruitland Drive  
90 and Mountain Road, but neither of those roads has the capacity to handle additional traffic due to  
91 their lack of shoulders and curb and gutter. If Monroe Boulevard were taken off the City's plan,  
92 other roads would not be able to handle the displacement of traffic counts. Mayor Taylor then  
93 reviewed maps identifying City population for each traffic analysis zone and indicated the area

94 around Monroe Blvd. is already the highest density neighborhood in the city, and this will  
95 continue to be a very high density corridor as the city grows. A ‘major collector’ road is  
96 necessary in this area between Washington and Fruitland Drive or Mountain Road. He then  
97 reviewed maps summarizing traffic trips in and out of the City, after which he reviewed the  
98 existing level of service provided by the City’s street system. The City enlisted a professional  
99 engineering firm to examine the City’s roads and traffic counts in 2007; the City learned that  
100 certain roads are already overwhelmed, including 400/450 East above 2600 North, 2600 North  
101 east of Washington, and 1050 East. This traffic study is presented in greater detail in the 2008  
102 North Ogden Transportation Master Plan. Additional maps identified projections for traffic  
103 patterns if no new roads are added to the City’s transportation system compared to traffic  
104 patterns if the City’s Master Transportation Plan is implemented. High traffic areas almost  
105 disappear by putting in the recommended roads. Mayor Taylor stated one question he is  
106 frequently asked is why Monroe Boulevard has not yet been built if it has been on the City’s plan  
107 for so long. He stated that municipal roads have historically been built and paid for by the  
108 developers who create neighborhoods. This was and continues to be the plan for Monroe  
109 Boulevard. Most of the existing pieces of Monroe Boulevard were built by developers, and the  
110 City hopes this will continue to be the case as the land around the future Monroe develops. He  
111 stated the work that is being done now includes right of way purchases; the City is purchasing  
112 the right of way or the ground on which a future road will be built. The City is not building  
113 Monroe Boulevard now and landowners, in most cases, will still be able to use the land for  
114 agricultural purposes, until the time comes to build the road. The funds to purchase the right of  
115 way come from the Local Option Weber County Corridor Preservation Fund. Sources of funds  
116 include: a \$10 fee on all Weber County vehicles during registration passed by Weber County  
117 Commission with unanimous support from all municipalities, and a local option transportation  
118 sales tax approved in 2007 by Weber County Voters. The purpose of the fee is to create a Local  
119 Option Transportation Corridor Preservation Fund for the purpose of funding to preserve  
120 transportation corridors, promote long term statewide transportation planning, save on  
121 acquisition costs, reduce future taxes, and promote the best interests of the State in a manner that  
122 minimizes impact on prime agricultural land. Additional details regarding right of way  
123 acquisition will be provided by the City’s Real Estate Professional during her presentation. The  
124 City obtained a \$2,064,000 grant from the Weber County Local Option Corridor Preservation  
125 Fund in 2012 to purchase the Monroe Boulevard right of way. The City Council voted  
126 unanimously on Dec 11, 2012 to accept this grant and to pursue the right of way purchase. The  
127 City is now attempting to purchase land from “willing sellers” now. Eminent domain will not be  
128 used at this point in the process. Purchasing the right of way in many ways is actually more  
129 beneficial to the landowner, because they are paid today for land that the City will not use for  
130 years. Furthermore, because Monroe is part of the City’s master plan, the landowner would have  
131 to deed the land for the road to North Ogden City as part of any future subdivision without  
132 payment, therefore making the right of way purchase a win-win for the landowner. Mayor Taylor  
133 noted that in looking to the future many people ask when Monroe Boulevard will be constructed.  
134 He indicated Monroe Boulevard is already nearly thirty percent constructed, in several  
135 unconnected segments. Several sections of Monroe have already been built as those areas have  
136 developed. For now, that will continue to be the case. The City is not saving funds towards the  
137 construction, and we hope that developers will construct most of the road. At some point in the  
138 future, the city will likely need to complete some segments, to make the entire road complete. He  
139 stated that it is his estimate that it will not be possible to connect all completed sections of the

140 road for an additional 10 to 20 years. He then explained the Wasatch Front Regional Council  
141 (WFRC) Regional Transportation Plan, and the Utah Unified Transportation Plan have Monroe  
142 Boulevard listed as a “Phase 3” project, meaning it will not even be eligible for state or federal  
143 construction funds for many years. He concluded by summarizing additional questions he hears  
144 about the project, first is whether eminent domain be used to condemn land for Monroe  
145 Boulevard in the future. He stated the answer is that if eminent domain is necessary, it will be  
146 used. If the city is unable to purchase the entire right of way now, efforts will be made by future  
147 city leaders to purchase the right of way when it is time to complete the road. If those efforts are  
148 unsuccessful, eminent domain will be used to complete the road. The road will be completed.  
149 People also ask if they will have input in how the road is built and the answer is absolutely yes.  
150 The Mayor and City Council at the time of construction will incorporate resident input into the  
151 road design and construction.

152  
153  
154 **B. SURVEY, ENGINEER PROCESS & THE PROPOSED ROAD ALIGNMENT –**  
155 **PRESENTER: MATT HARTVIGSEN, CITY ENGINEER**  
156

157 Mr. Hartvigsen also used the aid of a PowerPoint presentation to provide the audience with  
158 information regarding the engineering process associated with the road as well as the proposed  
159 road alignment. He reviewed maps identifying the road alignment included on the City’s Master  
160 Transportation Plan and noted that many problems and issues will be solved if it is possible to  
161 coordinate the location of the road via the right-of-way acquisition process and design of the  
162 project. He reviewed diagrams detailing the proposed cross-section of the future road, noting  
163 various plans include bike paths and adequate parking areas.

164  
165  
166 **C. RIGHT OF WAY PURCHASE PROCESS – PRESENTER: MAURINE**  
167 **BACHMAN**  
168

169 Ms. Bachman also used the aid of a PowerPoint presentation to provide the audience with  
170 information regarding the right-of-way purchase process; she summarized the following steps in  
171 the process:

- 172 • Identification of the properties affected by the future project
- 173 • Drawings and deeds are prepared by the right of way engineers
- 174 • Appraisal
- 175 • Appraisal Review
- 176 • Offer to purchase will initiate acquisition
- 177 • After the contract is executed the property will be closed at a title company
- 178 • Property is owned by the City. Owners can lease back the property

179 She explained a licensed and prequalified appraiser will contact property owners to inspect their  
180 property. They will ask questions about it and if it has been for sale or sold in the last five years.  
181 The appraiser will take photos of the property. The appraiser will take this information and other  
182 sales information from the market and make an analysis to arrive at a market value for the  
183 property. The completed appraisal will be reviewed by another appraiser for accuracy and logic.  
184 The City will determine just compensation for properties to be purchased and the agent will  
185 prepare file with formal offer to be presented to the owner. The agent will give the owner the

186 offer along with other documents that are part of the acquisition such as a contract, deeds and  
187 maps. The agent will also discuss concerns and issues with the owner and if appropriate convey  
188 these concerns to the City. Acquisition of property will be on a willing seller basis. After making  
189 multiple contacts with the owner, if the agent cannot get an agreement then the negotiations will  
190 be dropped and referred back to the City for action at some future date. After the acquisition the  
191 agent will, if the owner wishes to continue to use the property, work out a lease agreement with  
192 the City. Since the project is not going to be built any time soon there are no relocation benefits.  
193 These will be closed at a title company and any mortgages will be partially reconveyed before  
194 money will be released to the owner. The title to the roadway will be conveyed to the City.

195  
196 **3. TOWN HALL Q&A (PUBLIC COMMENTS) WITH MAYOR, CITY COUNCIL AND**  
197 **PROFESSIONAL STAFF**

198  
199 Mayor Taylor commenced the question and answer session of the meeting.

200  
201 Cecil Rhoades, 3098 N. 750 E., thanked Mayor Taylor for his presentation and noted the  
202 information was helpful. He then stated the City of North Ogden is responsible for property  
203 zoning and he inquired as to the City's vision for the type of zoning that will be adjacent to the  
204 new Monroe Boulevard; he wondered if it will be single family, multi-family, or commercial  
205 development. Mayor Taylor referred to the City's General Plan, which lays out future zoning for  
206 all properties in the City. He stated the entire area around Monroe Boulevard is designed for  
207 single family or other low density housing development. Some properties currently used for  
208 agricultural purposes have not been assigned a future zoning designation, but the projection is for  
209 development along Monroe Boulevard to be low density housing.

210  
211 Council Member Bailey added that the City is in the process of updating the General Plan and  
212 there is no intention to permit commercial development outside of the Washington Boulevard  
213 corridor. Council Member Satterthwaite agreed and noted all residents are encouraged to  
214 provide their input regarding the updates to the General Plan.

215  
216 Barbara Parkinson, 2872 N. 750 E., stated the road will run through the middle of her property  
217 and she wondered if she will be obligated to install curb, gutter, and sidewalk if she sells the east  
218 portion of her property for the road. Mr. Hartvigsen stated the party responsible for developing  
219 the property would need to build the entire right-of-way, including the curb, gutter, and sidewalk  
220 on both sides of the road. Ms. Parkinson wondered which developer will be interested in the  
221 property if they understand they are required to build the entire road. Mr. Hartvigsen stated Ms.  
222 Parkinson's question is a policy question and all he can tell her is what the City's policy has been  
223 in the past; that is that the developer would be required to build the entire right-of-way for a 66  
224 foot road, but if the plan calls for an 80 foot right-of-way the City has participated in the  
225 additional cost for the pavement construction. Mayor Taylor agreed that is how the City has  
226 generally handled similar situations in the past but agreed this is a policy issue and the seated  
227 Council at the time of the transaction may feel differently than past Councils. A visitor in the  
228 audience asked if Ms. Parkinson would be responsible for snow removal on the sidewalk on the  
229 west side of the road, to which Mayor Taylor answered yes and noted there are some properties  
230 in the City with sidewalks fronting agricultural land and snow removal is the property owners  
231 responsibility. Ms. Parkinson stated the sidewalk is the City's property. Mayor Taylor stated



232 that is true, but property taxes would need to be very high in order for the City to afford to  
233 perform snow removal on all sidewalks in the City.

234  
235 Tony Aragon, 681 E. 1700 N., stated the east side of his property fronts the Monroe Boulevard  
236 corridor and he wondered if he would be responsible to install curb and gutter along his property  
237 fronting the road. He stated he was told it would cost him at least \$20,000 to install curb, gutter,  
238 and sidewalk along his property. Mr. Hartvigsen stated that the infrastructure requirements  
239 depend upon the circumstances of the development and the road would only be built by a  
240 developer if it was determined to be needed to access their development; in that case the  
241 developer would be responsible to install the road and all curb, gutter, and sidewalk. Mayor  
242 Taylor added that if the road is built up to a property line by a developer and the owner of the  
243 adjacent property has no intentions of developing their property further, they will not be forced  
244 to install the road or curb, gutter, and sidewalk; that is one of the segments that the City would be  
245 responsible to build the road that was not needed by a development. Mr. Aragon addressed Ms.  
246 Bachman and asked for her opinion regarding how Monroe Boulevard would impact the value of  
247 his property. Ms. Bachman stated she cannot answer that question and the value would need to  
248 be determined by an appraisal. Mr. Aragon stated he believes the road would decrease the value  
249 by several thousands of dollars. He then inquired as to the City's plans to get all the kids living  
250 on the east side of Monroe Boulevard across the street to the west side to access Green Acres  
251 Elementary School and the other elementary schools located along the corridor. Mayor Taylor  
252 stated that over the past five years the City has completed four or five safe sidewalk projects for  
253 elementary schools throughout the City; the purpose of safe sidewalks is to provide walking  
254 routes for school children in areas where none previously existed. He added crossing guards  
255 would also be used to assist children in crossing the street. Lieutenant Crowther also responded  
256 and provided a description of the manner in which the City decides where school crossings and  
257 crossing guards will be located. Council Member Swanson added that children attending North  
258 Ogden and Bates Elementary Schools must cross fairly large streets, including Washington  
259 Boulevard and the crossing guards do a wonderful job at protecting children to the point that  
260 there have been no incidents. Mr. Hartvigsen added that the road can be engineered in a manner  
261 that will provide for curb 'bump-outs' in the designated locations of school crosswalks so that  
262 the distance that a person must walk across the street is reduced. This would also limit on-street  
263 parking near crosswalks.

264  
265 Susan Clements, 668 E. 3125 N., asked for clarification regarding the Monroe Boulevard route;  
266 she wondered if the road was always meant to extend to 3100 North or if that is a more recent  
267 change. Mayor Taylor stated the road was initially planned to extend to 2600 North, but later in  
268 the 70's or 80's the plans were extended to 3100 North. Mr. Hartvigsen agreed and noted that  
269 until now the alignment has been conceptual; the City is now trying to determine the exact  
270 properties that will be impacted by the road in order to proceed with property acquisition.  
271 Council Member Swanson stated that because plans to extend the road have been in place for  
272 several years, some portions of the street are already 80 feet wide.

273  
274 Ms. Woelich, 742 E. 2700 N., stated that all she cares about is finding out when the road will be  
275 constructed; she has a toddler and one of the reasons she purchased her property is because it is  
276 in a quiet location near a field. She does not want her toddler living on a busy road and she  
277 wondered when the road will be built. Mayor Taylor stated the construction of the road depends

278 upon development and it is not possible for the City to say when the project will commence.  
279 There is a rough guess that the road will be completed in 20 years, but it could be sooner  
280 depending upon development. Ms. Woelich asked if the project will be halted if there is another  
281 recession. Mayor Taylor stated that would probably happen because developers will not be  
282 building homes as rapidly. Council Member Swanson agreed and reiterated the key to the  
283 timeline of the road project is the rate at which vacant ground develops. Ms. Woelich asked if  
284 the City has an agenda for which landowners will be approached first. Ms. Bachman stated she  
285 will get to all property owners regarding right-of-way acquisition as quickly as possible within a  
286 fairly consolidated timeframe. Council Member Bailey suggested that Ms. Woelich approach the  
287 owner of the vacant ground and ask about their intentions about selling their property for  
288 development. Ms. Woelich stated she does not want her way of life to change because of the  
289 road.

290  
291 Ron Storey, 2882 N. 750 E., stated he owns property that is in a trust and he asked if survey  
292 stakes will be placed to indicate where the right-of-way for the road ends and begins. Mr.  
293 Hartvigsen stated that once the location of the right-of-way is decided upon, the City will install  
294 temporary stakes in order for property owners to see the potential location of the road on their  
295 property. A plat showing the exact location of the corridor would be recorded at the Weber  
296 County Recorder's Office. A representative of Meridian Engineering, Darrell Finn, agreed this  
297 is the method that will be used. The stakes will be placed at the request of property owners  
298 during property acquisition negotiations.

299  
300 Kathy Aragon, 681 E. 1700 N., stated the road would go along the side and back of her home  
301 and it may even require a traffic signal or round-a-bout in front of her home. One of her biggest  
302 concerns as a resident on 1700 North is that she will not use Monroe Boulevard to go south and  
303 she feels most residents will feel the same; users of the road will turn in front of her home when  
304 they hit 1700 North. She stated there are five school buses that have stops along 1700 North;  
305 there is also a new subdivision proposed for 1700 North that will include 87 new homes and she  
306 wondered what will happen to 1700 North when traffic impacts increase. Mayor Taylor stated  
307 that the 2008 traffic plan lays out the future potential locations of street lights and there are two  
308 projected along Monroe Boulevard: one at 2600 North and one at 3100 North. This does not  
309 mean that one will not be needed at 1700 North depending upon future traffic demands, but the  
310 City actually feels that Monroe Boulevard will actually reduce traffic on 1700 North. Council  
311 Member Urry agreed and stated he feels many people will use Monroe Boulevard as an  
312 alternative to Washington Boulevard because the congestion is lower as are the speed limits.

313  
314 Jim Barnes, 1081 E. 3400 N., stated the future plans of many landowners in the area may be  
315 disrupted in order for the City to acquire a right-of-way; he wondered why it is necessary to  
316 acquire the right-of-way now rather than waiting until a developer is seeking to develop property  
317 along the perceived corridor. He suggested the right-of-way acquisition money be turned back to  
318 the State rather than pursuing right-of-way acquisition and disrupting the community. Mayor  
319 Taylor stated there are many reasons local governments are pursuing right-of-way acquisition,  
320 the main reason being so that homes are not built in the corridor. If the right-of-way is  
321 purchased and the corridor is preserved, people can plan on the future route. He stated he feels it  
322 is more disruptive for the corridor to be uncertain and for people to have questions about the  
323 future of the road. Mr. Barnes stated the City could follow its transportation plan and hold to the

324 corridor that has been identified and it would not be necessary to purchase property for the right-  
325 of-way; instead, developers can be made aware of the location of the corridor and they will still  
326 be required to pay for the construction of the road. Council Member Swanson stated that the  
327 current City Council and Planning Commission may follow the plan, but there is no way to  
328 control future City Councils and Planning Commissions and ensure they follow the plan without  
329 the corridor being preserved through right-of-way acquisition. Council Member Bailey added  
330 that there may also be parcels of ground through the corridor that do not lend themselves to  
331 development and it will be more beneficial to those property owners for the City to purchase the  
332 property and they will not be required to pay for construction of the road at some point in the  
333 future.

334  
335 Dave Hulme, 513 E. 1700 N., asked where the money is coming from to pay for the acquisition  
336 process (engineering and real estate services) aside from the money that will actually be used to  
337 acquire property. Mayor Taylor asked Doug Larsen from Weber County to answer that question.  
338 Mr. Hulme asked if the \$2.64 million is enough to pay for all of the right-of-way that needs to be  
339 purchased and, if not, what money will be used to pay for the rest of the property. Mr. Larsen  
340 provided the audience with a brief education regarding that makeup of the corridor preservation  
341 fund and noted the funds awarded to North Ogden can be used to purchase the right-of-way for  
342 the road as well as cover the engineering services, etc. If there is no sufficient funding to  
343 complete the right-of-way acquisition, the City has the opportunity to come back to the County  
344 and ask for an amendment in the form of more money to complete the project.

345  
346 Ms. Parkinson re-approached and asked how eminent domain would be used for properties  
347 whose owners refuse to sell. She asked if the amount the property owner would be paid for their  
348 property would be less through the eminent domain process. Ms. Bachman stated that under the  
349 eminent domain process an appraisal would be conducted and the property owner would be paid  
350 the value at the time; property owners can choose to take the money now or take money in the  
351 future depending upon a future appraisal. Council Member Swanson stated eminent domain  
352 does not provide the City the opportunity to pay a lower amount for property acquisition.

353  
354 Mr. Aragon re-approached and asked why the road from 1700 North southward is much wider  
355 than the rest of the corridor; this will require the taking of more of his property and other  
356 property owners in that area. Mr. Hartvigsen stated the right-of-way for the road in Ogden is 100  
357 feet and the width of the portion of the road east of Green Acres Elementary is already 100 feet,  
358 so it is natural to provide the same width on the distance of the road between 1700 North and the  
359 Ogden City border. The width of the road from 2100 North northward will be 80 feet.

360  
361 Ms. Woelich re-approached and stated that she understands the appraisal process that will be  
362 used to determine the purchase offer that will be made by the City, but she wondered what  
363 negotiating ability the landowner has and who will represent them. Ms. Bachman stated that  
364 landowners can use another real estate professional or an attorney to represent them. She stated  
365 she represents the City, but her goal is to reach a good agreement for everyone; sometimes a  
366 property owner knows something about their property that makes it more valuable than was  
367 determined through the appraisal process. Ms. Woelich suggested that the landowners are at a  
368 disadvantage. Council Member Swanson stated it is important to keep in mind that this process  
369 only applies to willing land sellers and the City is not forcing anyone to sell their home or



370 property at this point in time. He reiterated property owners have the ability to hire someone to  
371 represent them. Ms. Woelich stated she understands what is being said, but many people do not  
372 want to sell their land but feel they have no choice but to do so. Council Member Satterthwaite  
373 stated he feels there are restrictions in place that ensure Ms. Bachman will offer a fair price to all  
374 property owners. Mayor Taylor agreed. He stated the process is not perfect, but it is necessary  
375 to provide reasonable transportation accommodations when living in an urban environment. The  
376 City wants to be fair to the landowner when purchasing property that will improve the quality of  
377 life in the City.

378  
379 Max Barker, 2387 N. Fruitland Drive, stated his property has been designated greenbelt and he  
380 wondered if the road will impact that designation. He stated he has been told in the past that if  
381 part of his ground is sold he will be required to subdivide the remainder. Ms. Bachman stated  
382 that typically the entity purchasing the property will pay the rollback taxes for the portion of  
383 property that will no longer be greenbelt and that could amount to a fair amount of money. She  
384 inquired as to the size of Mr. Barker's property, to which he answered 20 acres. Ms. Bachman  
385 stated she suspects the remainder of the property will still qualify to be designated greenbelt.  
386 Discussion ensued regarding whether the remainder of the property would need to be subdivided  
387 if a portion were sold, with the Council concluding they did not feel that would be the case.

388  
389 Susan Riggs, 710 E. 2100 N., stated that when North Ogden applied for corridor preservation  
390 funds the application expressed that the City was most interested in developing the connection  
391 between 3100 North and 2600 North because it would be the greatest value to the City and she  
392 asked if that is still the feeling of the City. Mayor Taylor stated that the current Governing Body  
393 was not seated at the time of the application and currently there are no plans in the City to build  
394 segments of Monroe Boulevard at this time or at any time in the future. Ms. Riggs then stated  
395 that when the application was approved, the estimated cost to construct Monroe Boulevard was  
396 \$12,609,000; the City only received \$2 million for corridor preservation and she asked if North  
397 Ogden has received the remaining \$10 million for roadway construction costs and, if not, who  
398 will pay for the road. Ms. Riggs stated the developers will pay for the construction of the road;  
399 the City has not asked for any money to build the road because the City has no current plans to  
400 finish the road. Council Member Swanson stated that even if the City were to set a priority for  
401 the sections of road to be developed, it would have no control over the project because the road  
402 will only be built as development occurs.

403  
404 Deborah Barnes, 1081 E. 3400 N., addressed eminent domain; the City has said no one will be  
405 forced to sell their property, but the Council has also said eminent domain may be used at a point  
406 in the future. She stated she considers eminent domain to be a hammer; she worked for a  
407 municipality that used eminent domain and fair market value is subjective. She never saw  
408 someone get fair market value for their property when selling it under eminent domain. She  
409 cautioned the residents to be aware and leery; eminent domain is a scary thing and should not be  
410 used lightly. Council Member Swanson stated that eminent domain is only a tool that would be  
411 used as an absolute last resort; the City will negotiate as much as possible to avoid using eminent  
412 domain. Ms. Barnes stated she has heard that many times. Mayor Taylor added that eminent  
413 domain is a tool that has been used in the City in the past when purchasing property to construct  
414 2700 North, which is a road that nearly every citizen likely uses on a daily basis. Government

415 entities have the ability to use eminent domain for the public benefit and it is not possible to say  
416 with certainty that it will not be used in the future for the Monroe Boulevard project.

417  
418 Doug Bronson, 2363 N. 575 E., asked if the road is only being considered for traffic relief or if  
419 there are other reasons, such as traffic diversion. Mayor Taylor stated there is a grid system that  
420 has been laid out for the City to try to distribute traffic relatively evenly; the center strip of the  
421 City around the Monroe Boulevard corridor has the highest density in the City and the road is  
422 needed. Mr. Bronson asked who will actually use the road; North Ogden is spread fairly wide  
423 and he expects the only people that will use the road are those living on the northeast side of the  
424 City. Mr. Bronson stated the road will truly not benefit the entire City if only the people living  
425 close to it will use it. Council Member Bailey stated that Monroe Boulevard will benefit the City  
426 by relieving traffic issues on Washington Boulevard or Mountain Road. He referenced the  
427 traffic study that has examined traffic patterns and noted it concluded that it indicates how that  
428 relief will take place. Mr. Bronson stated it will be necessary to make the road attractive in order  
429 to drive traffic to the road; the road will likely be a thoroughfare with a speed limit of 35 miles  
430 per hour or higher and that will degrade the value of the properties along the road. Mayor Taylor  
431 stated that he lives on a major collector street and he personally loves his home and his  
432 neighborhood; he does not feel that living on a thoroughfare is a death sentence for property  
433 owners. Council Member Satterthwaite stated the City regularly hears complaints from people  
434 driving on east/west streets trying to access Washington Boulevard and the traffic study indicates  
435 that Monroe Boulevard will help address those problems.

436  
437 Duane Parker, 777 E. 3000 N., stated he attended the last meeting regarding Monroe Boulevard  
438 and there were concerns expressed regarding connecting North Ogden to Ogden, which will  
439 invite crime from the Ron Claire neighborhood into the City and he asked if that is still a  
440 concern. Council Member Urry stated he feels those concerns were put out by people that did  
441 not know the actual crime statistics for the Ron Clair neighborhood. He stated he asked the  
442 Police Chief to check into the number of crimes that are committed in North Ogden by non-  
443 residents and he will report back once he receives that information. He stated that there is plenty  
444 of crime in North Ogden already. Mayor Taylor stated that the City is already connected to the  
445 Ron Claire neighborhood by Mountain Road and Washington Boulevard and there has not been  
446 much crime associated with that connection; he does not feel the connection of Monroe  
447 Boulevard will increase the crime rates in the City. He then asked how the North Ogden  
448 residents who do not live on the hill would feel if those living on the hill said they do not want to  
449 be connected by a street; he personally feels that mentality is elitism and he does not believe  
450 crime rates will increase. Mr. Parker stated he would be concerned if the City chose to do  
451 something that will increase the City's exposure to additional crime. He stated when driving the  
452 section of Monroe Boulevard that runs through Ogden it is possible to see drug deals and other  
453 crimes happening all the time. Council Member Urry asked Mr. Parker if he knows who the  
454 drug dealers are that live on Monroe Boulevard, to which Mr. Parker answered no. Council  
455 Member Urry asked Mr. Parker how he knows drug deals are going on. Mr. Parker stated he has  
456 seen exchanges. Council Member Urry stated he drives Monroe Boulevard three to five times  
457 per week and he has never seen what he has perceived to be a drug deal and he is tired of people  
458 saying things that they have no proof of. Mr. Parker stated he has no proof, but he has concerns.  
459 Council Member Urry stated that he has concerns as well, but he will not cast aspersions upon  
460 people when he does not know what is happening; most of the time when he drives Monroe

461 Boulevard he does not even see people outside of their homes. Mr. Parker inquired as to the time  
462 of day Council Member Urry drives Monroe Boulevard, to which Council Member Urry  
463 responded all hours of the day. Council Member Swanson echoed Council Member Urry's  
464 comments and noted that due to his profession he visits the homes of Ron Claire residents on a  
465 very regular basis and he has never seen a drug deal happening the way Mr. Parker is described.  
466 He added that if Mr. Parker were to speak to the Police Chief to learn about drug deals  
467 happening in North Ogden he would likely be quite surprised at what is happening in his own  
468 community. He cautioned everyone to be very careful not to buy into the elitism mentality  
469 because it does not accomplish anything and will, instead, divide the community and create fear.  
470 Council Member Satterthwaite agreed.

471  
472 Peggy Barker, 2553 N. Fruitland Drive, stated she sees this issue as a struggle between quality of  
473 life and values. She stated that progress is seen as more growth, more houses, and less open  
474 fields and wildlife. If that is the direction the City wants to go it is necessary to build more  
475 roads. She stated that if people do not like what development brings with it, they should be  
476 encouraged to move elsewhere because North Ogden is moving into the future. Mayor Taylor  
477 stated that it is not the City's decision to push development; all residential properties have been  
478 developed at the decision of private property owners. Council Member Swanson stated that he  
479 loves open spaces and will miss seeing open space as it disappears, but the only way to preserve  
480 the open space would be to purchase the property and disallow against development and it would  
481 not be possible for anyone to do that.

482  
483 Cindy Smoot, 2930 N. 750 E., asked who will pay the utility bills for property that is sold but  
484 leased back to the former property owners. Ms. Bachman stated that would be worked out in the  
485 lease agreement for the property. Ms. Smoot asked who will take care of weeds on the property.  
486 Mayor Taylor stated that the City will maintain the properties and response from the City will be  
487 complaint driven. Ms. Smoot then stated that she taught in a school in Ron Claire and she has  
488 been in a lot of the homes in the neighborhood and she is surprised by the comments that have  
489 been made; there is a huge difference between North Ogden and Ogden residents and she  
490 believes people have seen drug deals on Monroe Boulevard. Council Member Urry stated he  
491 grew up in inner City Ogden and neither he nor any of his siblings did drugs; he does not think  
492 that where a person lives will cause them to do drugs and those decisions come from the values  
493 children are taught in their homes.

494  
495 Matt Smoot, 2930 N. 750 E., agreed this is a quality of life issue. He wondered if the majority of  
496 the residents in the City want open space and are willing to handle more traffic if the City  
497 Council is willing to support that desire. He asked each Council Member to respond. Mayor  
498 Taylor stated that even if people want open space, the City cannot prevent property owners from  
499 developing their property, which requires the construction of roads. He stated the City is not  
500 forcing anyone to turn their property into a subdivision even if a road is running through it. Mr.  
501 Smoot asked if the Mayor and City Council are willing to follow the will of the people if they  
502 want open space preserved. Mayor Taylor asked how such an action would be established. He  
503 also wondered how other residents feel about the road running through their neighborhoods  
504 being used to handle traffic that should have otherwise been fairly and evenly distributed. He  
505 stated he feels traffic should be evenly distributed, which includes throughout the neighborhoods  
506 around the Monroe Boulevard corridor. He stated the idea that roads should be located

507 everywhere else for Mr. Smoot to use, but not near him does not work. Mr. Smoot stated he is  
508 not talking just about himself, but rather he is talking about the entire City and he asked if the  
509 Mayor and Council would be supportive of an action if the entire City declares they do not want  
510 a new road running through the middle of the City.

511  
512 Council Member Satterthwaite stated the City's General Plan has included the roads that have  
513 been discussed this evening for some time. He added the transportation plan and traffic study  
514 provide compelling reasons as to why the road is needed. He stated that everyone in the City has  
515 the ability to provide input regarding the update of the General Plan and to state what kind of  
516 development and growth they would like to see in the City. It is important to keep in mind that  
517 roads are integrated into quality of life, as are many other amenities in the City and some give  
518 and take is necessary. Mr. Smoot stated his question has not been answered.

519  
520 Council Member Swanson stated there are several problems with the question; one is that it is an  
521 oversimplification of a complex issue. He asked how the feeling of the citizens would be  
522 measured. Mr. Smoot stated this is not a political question. Council Member Swanson stated  
523 that he is trying to answer the question. Mr. Smoot challenged Council Member Swanson and  
524 Mayor Taylor declared him out of order. Council Member Swanson stated he is simply trying to  
525 understand how the feeling of the entire citizenry would be gauged. The simple answer is that of  
526 course the City Council would listen to the majority of residents, but the question is much  
527 deeper. Anyone that has ever formed a survey or asked a question knows that how the question  
528 is asked will greatly determine the results of the survey. He stated it is not a simple question and  
529 it is not easy to answer. Mr. Smoot stated he is trying to understand what is in the City Council  
530 members' hearts. Council Member Swanson stated his heart is in doing what is best for the  
531 18,000 residents of North Ogden City and if all 18,000 residents said they were willing to wait  
532 for an extra 10 minutes at the traffic signal at 2600 North and Washington Boulevard because  
533 Mr. Smoot does not want traffic near his property he will support that desire. He stated the  
534 reality of the situation is not that simple and the metric is not as easy as Mr. Smoot is indicating.  
535 Mr. Smoot stated that he feels the fair way of taking action on the issue is to let the majority of  
536 the residents' voice be heard. He stated he feels the decision is being made without any care  
537 being given to what the people want and just because a road is on the master plan does not mean  
538 it needs to be built.

539  
540 Council Member Bailey stated that his experience on the Council over the past three years has  
541 been taking great care in making the best decisions as possible for the entire City; there are  
542 limitations because it is not possible to poll the entire citizenry regarding every issue being  
543 decided upon by the City Council. He stated he feels this decision has not been short sided or  
544 short circuited and it certainly has not been made without a great amount of thought from every  
545 involved party. He has heard from many residents and the feedback he has experienced is that  
546 by a ratio of 10 to 1 the citizens favor the road being extended and continuing to upgrade the  
547 transportation in the City.

548  
549 Council Member Urry stated that if the Council did not care about how the citizens feel they  
550 would not be having this meeting. The Council is charged with being visionary. When he  
551 campaigned for election to the City Council his campaign literature explained that he was in



552 favor of Monroe Boulevard and he won his seat by 38 votes. He stated he will vote his  
553 conscience on this issue.

554  
555 Council Member Stoker stated in her heart she is in favor of Monroe Boulevard; she has always  
556 known that the road was on the future plans for the City. She stated many people do not like the  
557 idea of the road and they may not deal with change well, but growth is in the future for North  
558 Ogden and she asked if any consideration has been given to whether future residents will want  
559 the road.

560  
561 Mr. Smoot stated he simply wanted to see how each Council Member would answer the  
562 question.

563  
564 Mary Beus, 1996 N. 700 E., stated there is a handful of people in the audience that have attended  
565 every meeting where Monroe Boulevard has been discussed and they are people that do not want  
566 the road to go through because it will negatively impact them; most of them are older people that  
567 wanted to retire and settle down in their final home in North Ogden. She stated she received an  
568 email from Mayor Taylor about the process to update the General Plan encouraging residents to  
569 get involved in how they want the City to look in the future. She was so upset that he took the  
570 time to send an email regarding a survey that asks residents how they want the face of buildings  
571 in the City to look, but he could not take the time to send an email about Monroe Boulevard.  
572 Half of the people do not like Smith's Marketplace; they do not want to live in Riverdale or  
573 Layton, but she was told that at least 600 people voted for it. She wondered how people voted  
574 for it because the rest of the thousands of people did not know they had an opportunity to vote.  
575 Residents want to come home to their quiet neighborhoods and this road will disrupt the entire  
576 City. She is very upset about the jokes that are being made because this is very serious to her  
577 and it is her life; she stated the residents in North Ogden should be given the opportunity to vote  
578 and, at the very least, a survey should be conducted.

579  
580 Mayor Taylor echoed Council Member Urry's comments that the fact that the City Council is  
581 holding this meeting proves they care about the citizens' opinions. This issue has been voted  
582 upon by previous City Councils. The City has held an open house regarding the Monroe  
583 Boulevard project and all adjacent property owners received notification of that meeting  
584 personally delivered to their home. The meeting was also publicized on social media and in the  
585 newspaper to get the community involved. He referenced the recommendation to put the road to  
586 a vote and noted that has never been done with any other road project in the City. He again  
587 referenced 2600 North and wondered if that project would have been approved by a vote of the  
588 people and how the City would be functioning today without that road. He stated the City  
589 follows a system where representatives are elected and the representatives of the City for the past  
590 several decades have put the planning for the road in place. He stated there may be some humor  
591 to lighten the mood in this painful process, but everyone takes this issue very seriously; he  
592 knows many people in this room personally as all of the Council Members and they do not take  
593 this decision lightly. They know this project will affect the lives of those in the room tonight, but  
594 it will also affect the lives of everyone living in the City if roads are not built to accommodate  
595 the traffic in the City. All residents care about their children and their safety, but pushing the  
596 burden from one neighborhood to another is not right. It is necessary to build roads throughout  
597 the entire City to carry the traffic throughout the entire City and that is what the people have



598 elected the City government to do. It is not a decision that is made lightly and the road will not  
599 even be constructed while the current Council is in office.

600  
601 Council Member Satterthwaite stated that he and one other member of the City Council were  
602 elected just over a year ago and during election debates they were asked if they were in favor of  
603 the Monroe Boulevard project and their answer was yes; the fact that they were elected is some  
604 indication of how the residents of the City feel about the project. He stated he has watched with  
605 some disdain as former members of the City's governing body have said that this is not a  
606 democracy and they would make decisions that they were elected to make without input. He  
607 stated the current City Council is asking for input to make a decision. He stated the Council and  
608 Mayor are concerned about doing what is in the best interest of the City.

609  
610 Council Member Swanson stated that it is important to understand what the Council has the  
611 power to control; the Council cannot stop development based solely on the desire to maintain  
612 open space. The private property owners are the only party that can control development and  
613 development necessitates roads. He stated he would be perfectly happy to see North Ogden stay  
614 as it is now; he feels it is wonderful, but the reality is that people will continue to develop their  
615 land, which will require additional infrastructure improvements. The Council is charged with  
616 looking at what must be done in the future to make North Ogden stay as nice as it possibly can  
617 while development continues.

618  
619 Council Member Urry stated that there are many people that live in the City, including himself,  
620 that would not otherwise live here if previous City Councils halted development. Like it or not  
621 the City will continue to grow because landowners will continue to sell their land for varying  
622 reasons.

623  
624 Randy Wind, 2412 Barker Drive, stated the group present this evening is not representative of  
625 the entire City of North Ogden. He stated he has watched the City Council work over the past  
626 year and he admires what they are doing; the City is blessed to have a Council with their vision  
627 and that will show the residents the plans that will provide for the City now and into the future.  
628 As the Mayor pointed out, the City Council and Mayor will not be in the same positions in 10  
629 years when Monroe Boulevard is constructed, but the future elected officials and residents will  
630 benefit from the work that is being done today. He stated he has seen cities that fail to plan and  
631 they end up with awful traffic and infrastructure problems. He stated the City is so fortunate to  
632 have a group of people that is willing to spend the time to study the issue and make these  
633 difficult decisions that will benefit the entire City.

634  
635 Steve Rasmussen, 1092 E. 3250 N., stated he moved to North Ogden 44 years ago and has lived  
636 in different neighborhoods; he moved to the City because he liked the rural atmosphere and the  
637 fact that he did not feel like he was living in a big city. He stated he would like for the City to  
638 stay more rural rather than continue to develop to the point that the rural flavor is lost, but one of  
639 the other reasons he moved to North Ogden is because he does not like traffic. He stated he  
640 knows it is not practical to stop development and stop people from moving to a place that is a  
641 good place to live. He knows there is a lot of concern about Monroe and the impact it will have  
642 on property owners, but he understands that things need to be done to relieve existing and ever  
643 growing traffic congestion in the City. If Monroe is not built, something else will need to be

644 built or traffic conditions will continue to degrade and in 10 years the City will be in a much  
645 worse position than today.

646  
647 Mr. Hulme re-approached and stated that he appreciates the City Council and Mayor are in a  
648 difficult position relative to this decision and that is what they have been elected to do. He  
649 discussed development around him and stated he was originally opposed to it, but he understands  
650 that people have the right and freedom to develop their property. He stated he prefers freedom to  
651 open space. He addressed Mayor Taylor's earlier comments regarding the use of the corridor  
652 preservation funds for the project and noted it is important that no one forget where the money  
653 came from; North Ogden is one of the wealthiest communities in Weber County and he is sure  
654 the residents paid a disproportionate share of the money that makes up corridor preservation  
655 funding. He stated he wants to make sure the City's landowners that will be asked to sell their  
656 property for the right-of-way are fairly compensated. He stated that the entire County would be  
657 better off if entities chose not to take tax dollars that they do not truly need and he suggested that  
658 as the road extension is further investigated that the City make every effort to minimize the  
659 impact on the landowner. He stated Mr. Aragon's comments reminded him that it is important to  
660 only take what is needed and no more; on 700 East where the road is 100 feet wide it would be a  
661 good idea to install a landscaped median in the middle of the road that would beautify the  
662 neighborhood and improve the ease of the transition from a 100 foot road to an 80 foot road near  
663 Mr. Aragon's property. It would also make street crossing for school children easier. He  
664 concluded that he appreciated the sacrifice of the residents and the sacrifice the Council and  
665 Mayor are making at this point in time and he agreed that something needs to be done now  
666 before traffic problems get worse.

667  
668 Bob Buswell, 962 E. 3025 N., stated that when he returned from his LDS mission he was  
669 surprised to see new homes between his property and Ben Lomond. His father told him a story  
670 about another family whose son returned from his mission and was upset to find that his family  
671 had sold their property, which allowed the Buswell family to build their home and block their  
672 view of Ben Lomond.

673  
674 Brent Anderson, 709 E. 2100 N., stated Monroe Boulevard will affect he and his family greatly,  
675 but sitting through this meeting and listening to both sides has allowed him to understand the  
676 conflict. It is his feeling that the City Council already knows the project is going to happen and  
677 what is frustrating to many of the people that will be impacted by the project is that it seems the  
678 Mayor and City Council do not care. He stated he has many friends that live along Monroe  
679 Boulevard and he does believe that extending the road will be an avenue to allow many  
680 undesirable behaviors to seep into North Ogden. He reiterated he will be directly affected by the  
681 project because the road will run east of his property and his question is how the City will ensure  
682 safety for the kids that need to cross a 35 mile per hour road to get to school.

683  
684 Mayor Taylor stated that he respects Mr. Anderson's opinion that he or the Council may not care  
685 about the people that will be impacted by the road, but he assured him that they do care. If the  
686 measurement of caring is that the road project will be stopped, he does not think that  
687 measurement can be met. The fact that the Council has spent hundreds of hours researching and  
688 discussing the project proves that they care. They must also think about what is best for all other  
689 citizens in the City; it is necessary to try to balance traffic throughout the entire City. He then

690 noted there will be many different safety measures used to ensure kids are able to cross the street  
691 to get to school, such as safe sidewalks, signalized crossings, raised crosswalks, crossing guards,  
692 etc.

693  
694 Council Member Swanson encouraged the citizens to provide suggestions or ideas regarding  
695 improvements that can be made to the road to ensure safety. Mr. Anderson stated he will do that.  
696 Mr. Hartvigsen referenced some of the traffic calming measures that will be used on the road.  
697

698 Council Member Urry suggested that further investigation be done regarding the design of the  
699 road, specifically related to street crossing methods and aligning road widths. He added that  
700 many schools in Ogden City are located on busy roads and they have found ways to safely cross  
701 students to and from school.  
702

703 Mike St. James, 3644 E. 575 E., stated he has lived in many communities and has been in North  
704 Ogden since 2002; he feels North Ogden has one of the finest local government cores that he has  
705 seen in his life. People are willing to listen and accept input and he feels the Mayor and Council  
706 has a lot of integrity. He thanked them for not 'kicking the can down the road' for another 10 or  
707 20 years, which would be the easy thing to do. It is not possible to keep people from moving to  
708 North Ogden; all the City can do is decide if the layout of the City will be messy or well thought  
709 out. He stated he has seen the Council and Mayor take a lot of abuse about this issue and he  
710 appreciates the tough job they are doing. He is gratified that he voted for the people he voted for  
711 and he feels the Council is doing a fine job. The City owes something to its future generations  
712 and they will benefit by the work the City is doing now. The kids that grow up in this  
713 community will be able to look back and be grateful for the work being done and the decisions  
714 being made.  
715

716

717

#### 718 **4. COUNCIL/MAYOR/STAFF COMMENTS**

719

720 Council Member Stoker appreciated everyone that has been willing to voice their thoughts and  
721 opinions. She stated the City Council may not always agree with one another, but they find a  
722 way to discuss and listen to one another and reach a resolution. She stated that everything that  
723 has been said will be taken into consideration and she encouraged everyone to stay involved in  
724 the process.

725

726 Council Member Urry stated that he campaigned hard against Mayor Taylor, but he wants  
727 everyone to know that he has been very pleased with the job he is doing as Mayor and how he  
728 has worked with the Council. The Council does not always agree, but they find a way to come to  
729 a consensus and do what is best for the City. He concluded the decisions the Council will make  
730 will be made for the best of the entire community.

731

732 Council Member Bailey stated he is sorry the Council is charged with making hard decisions, but  
733 that is part of their job. No decision is made for personal reasons and he has never worked with  
734 a harder working group of people that takes so much time to discuss and investigate all issues to  
735 make the right decisions.

736 Council Member Swanson thanked everyone for their attendance and stated he is grateful they  
737 attended and voiced their opinion no matter what side of the issue they are on. This is what  
738 makes America and this form of government great. The opportunity for him to serve has been  
739 wonderful and he is grateful he has had the chance to get to know so many different people, even  
740 if he disagrees with them.

741  
742 Council Member Satterthwaite also thanked everyone for attending and stated he appreciates all  
743 input that has been offered as it is extremely important to help government function. He added  
744 the Mayor has made efforts to get the word out to everyone that will be impacted by this decision  
745 and it is a credit to North Ogden City that there are so many people in attendance this evening.  
746

747 Mayor Taylor stated that he spent three years of his life in other countries like Iraq and  
748 Afghanistan where meetings like this do not take place. That is why he loves this country and  
749 the ability for everyone to disagree and express their opinions and hopefully still be able to shake  
750 hands at the end of the night as fellow North Ogden citizens and Americans. He stated he  
751 respects everyone and what they said and he appreciates everyone that has been involved.  
752

753

754 **5. ADJOURNMENT**

755  
756

757 **Council Member Satterthwaite motioned to adjourn. Council Member Bailey seconded the**  
758 **motion.**

759

760 **Voting on the motion:**

761

762 **Council Member Bailey                    aye**

763 **Council Member Satterthwaite        aye**

764 **Council Member Stoker                aye**

765 **Council Member Swanson             aye**

766 **Council Member Urry                    aye**

767

768 **The motion passed unanimously.**

769

770 **The meeting adjourned at 10:35 p.m.**

771

772

773

774 \_\_\_\_\_  
Brent Taylor, Mayor

775

776

777

778 \_\_\_\_\_  
S. Annette Spendlove, MMC

779 City Recorder

780

781

782 \_\_\_\_\_  
Date Approved