

1 NORTH OGDEN CITY COUNCIL MEETING

2
3 May 27, 2014

4
5 The North Ogden City Council convened in an open meeting on May 27, 2014 at 6:32 p.m. in
6 the North Ogden City Council Chambers at 505 East 2600 North. Notice of time, place and
7 agenda of the meeting was delivered to each member of the City Council, posted on the bulletin
8 board at the municipal office and posted to the Utah State Website on March 20, 2014. Notice of
9 the annual meeting schedule was published in the Standard-Examiner on January 24, 2014.

10
11 PRESENT: Brent Taylor Mayor
12 Kent Bailey Council Member
13 Lynn Satterthwaite Council Member
14 James Urry Council Member

15
16 EXCUSED: Cheryl Stoker Council Member
17 Phillip Swanson Council Member

18
19 STAFF PRESENT: Ronald F. Chandler City Manager
20 S. Annette Spendlove City Recorder/ H.R. Director
21 Jon Call City Attorney

22
23 VISITORS: Alex Onesto Elizabeth Putnam
24 Jason Obray Blake Welling
25 David Godfrey Steve Wynn
26 Dave Wallace Myron Joyner
27 Jaxxon Colledge Adam Pendleton
28 Rachel Trotter

29
30 Mayor Taylor welcomed those in attendance.

31
32 Council Member Bailey offered the invocation and led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

33
34 **CONSENT AGENDA**

35 **1. Consideration to approve the minutes of the April 22, 2014 City Council Meeting**

36
37 Mayor Taylor stated Council Member Bailey recommended two typographical corrections to the
38 minutes and City Recorder Spendlove briefly summarized the changes.

39
40 **Council Member Bailey moved to approve the consent agenda. Council Member**
41 **Satterthwaite seconded the motion.**

42
43 **Voting on the motion:**

44
45 **Council Member Bailey aye**
46 **Council Member Satterthwaite aye**
47 **Council Member Urry aye**

48 **The motion passed unanimously.**

49

50 **ACTIVE AGENDA**

51

52 **1. PUBLIC COMMENTS**

53

54 David Godfrey, 458 E. 1800 N., asked if the Council is planning to install improved signs
55 welcoming people to North Ogden City; the signs currently in place are rather old and
56 unattractive and he asked if the Council would consider improving them in the future.

57

58 Mayor Taylor reported the Council and Administration is considering plans for beautifying
59 Washington Boulevard, especially at the entrances to the City.

60

61 Mayor Taylor then reported items three and four advertised on the agenda will be moved to
62 future Council meeting agendas. He added that item two will be heard when former Council
63 Member Fawson arrives.

64

65 **2. PRESENTATION TO FORMER COUNCIL MEMBER FAWSON**

66

67 Mayor Taylor stated former Council Member Fawson was elected to the City Council in 2011
68 and served for 2.5 years before being selected by voting delegates to fill a vacancy in the House
69 of Representatives District Seven, which covers North Ogden, a portion of Pleasant View, and a
70 portion of Ogden. He is now serving the community in the State Legislature and North Ogden
71 now has a voice on Capitol Hill. Mayor Taylor stated it was a pleasure to work with Mr. Fawson
72 over the past couple of years and he brought a lot of fun and lightheartedness to the body. He
73 then mentioned some contributions Mr. Fawson has made to the City, including: helping to
74 jumpstart the City's economic development program by bringing in former Ogden Mayor
75 Matthew Godfrey's firm as the economic development consultant; helping to increase reserve
76 funding in the City's infrastructure funds that are so important for the long-term health of the
77 City's infrastructure; and helping with the initial push to create an employee compensation
78 committee to review employee salaries. He thanked Mr. Fawson for all he has done for the City
79 and wished him the best in his new capacity.

80

81 Council Member Bailey stated he had the opportunity of seeking office at the same time as Mr.
82 Fawson and he has appreciated serving with him; they have not always agreed, but always
83 worked to reach a consensus and he appreciates Mr. Fawson's willingness to deal with hard
84 issues in a congenial manner.

85

86 Council Member Satterthwaite stated he watched Mr. Fawson since he was elected in 2011 and
87 he appreciates the things he did as a Council Member. He stated he appreciated Mr. Fawson's
88 role in creating a civil Council. He added Mr. Fawson's family is present this evening and it is
89 important to recognize the fact that his family has sacrificed by supporting him in his position as
90 a Council Member and now as a member of the House of Representatives. He stated he expects
91 great things from Mr. Fawson.

92

93 Council Member Urry stated he was grateful for the opportunity to serve briefly with Mr.
94 Fawson; he brought a lot of sensibility and civility to the Council and he appreciated the role that
95 Mr. Fawson had in reducing the cost and budget for the Public Works Facility project.

96
97 Mayor Taylor presented Mr. Fawson with his nameplate as well as a plaque and letter expressing
98 the City's appreciation for Mr. Fawson's service.
99

100 Mr. Fawson stated that it was so enjoyable to work with the Council and he feels they worked
101 together for the best outcomes; it was a pleasure to serve North Ogden and he looks forward to
102 serving the greater community. Mr. Fawson then received a round of applause from those in
103 attendance.
104

105 **3. VENTURE ACADEMY PRESENTATION**

106
107 This item will be heard at the June 10, 2014 Council meeting.
108

109 **4. DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION TO CONSIDER REQUEST ON MAXIMUM** 110 **LENGTH OF A CUL-DE-SAC FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY** 111 **100 W. FROM ELBERTA DR. TO 3100 NORTH** 112

113 This item will be heard at a future Council meeting.
114

115 **5. DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION TO CONSIDER A CONTRACT BETWEEN** 116 **NORTH OGDEN CITY AND LUNDAHL BUILDING FOR THE PURPOSE OF** 117 **BUILDING A PUBLIC WORKS FACILITY** 118

119 Mayor Taylor provided a brief overview of the proposed contract and stated the terms are based
120 upon the Council's desires regarding the construction options for the facility. City Manager
121 Chandler briefly focused on the schedule for substantial completion, which is 365 days from the
122 commencement date; if the contractor fails to complete the project within that timeframe they
123 will be required to pay the City damages in the sum of \$1,000 per day. He stated this is a fairly
124 standard timeframe for this type of project. He then added the contract price is \$2,951,847 and
125 he reviewed the components of the project that make up that price. He stated the project budget
126 is actually slightly higher and includes a five percent contingency (\$147,092) to cover any
127 necessary change orders to the project. He provided a brief explanation of the City
128 representatives that will be authorized to approve or negotiate change orders for the project as
129 well as the four types of changes that can be made to the contract. He briefly reviewed the basic
130 design and layout of the facility. He concluded the contract has been reviewed by and signed by
131 Lundahl and they are awaiting approval of the City Council and execution of the contract by the
132 City.
133

134 City Attorney Call stated that the contract is based on a fairly standard form contract, which
135 means it is very even-handed and not written to benefit one party or the other.
136

137 Council Member Satterthwaite stated he would like to have a discussion about the substantial
138 completion date of the project because in past discussions it has been communicated to the

139 Council that it may be possible to have the project completed before winter and he would rather
140 stick to that timeline rather than a 365 day timeline.

141
142 Dallin Young, Lundahl project manager, stated he is not very familiar with the contract, but his
143 company usually asks for a timeline that will afford some flexibility to deal with unforeseen
144 circumstances that may arise throughout the project. He stated that if certain components of the
145 project, such as asphalt, are not completed before mid-November, it is necessary to wait until the
146 following spring to complete those components, which would extend the timeline of the project.
147 Council Member Satterthwaite stated he understands it would be necessary to change the
148 substantial completion date for the project if a change order to the contract were approved, but he
149 wants the initial timeline for the project to be consistent with what is believed to be realistic for
150 the project. Mr. Young reiterated the only thing that may push the project to 365 days is failure
151 to complete asphalt work at the site prior to mid-November, but there will be a big push to
152 complete that component of the project this fall. Mayor Taylor asked if asphalt work would need
153 to be completed in order for an occupancy permit to be issued. Mr. Young answered yes. He
154 added that the seven month timeline that has been discussed could be included in the contract
155 now and reviewed again this fall to determine if it is still realistic. Council Member
156 Satterthwaite stated that a couple of meetings ago there was discussion about the potential for
157 delays in approving a budget for the project delaying the project timeline and the Council was
158 told that would not be the case. Mr. Young stated that his company has been waiting for
159 approval of a contract before commencing work on the project; he added that November is six
160 months from now and it could be difficult to get all site work done in that amount of time.

161
162 Council Member Bailey stated that he is concerned about the proposed process for approving
163 change orders to the contract; when discussed previously he asked that there be some form of
164 Council oversight for all potential contract change orders. He stated he still feels there should be
165 some Council representation when dealing with change orders or that all change orders should
166 come before the Council for a vote; it has been his experience in the past that contingency
167 funding for projects of this type is always spent and he wants to have some sort of assurance that
168 the Council will have oversight to ensure that does not happen on this project. Council Member
169 Satterthwaite agreed and noted the Council is responsible to approve the budget for the project so
170 they should be made aware when there is consideration to allocating contingency funds to the
171 project. Mayor Taylor stated he would be comfortable providing all change orders to the
172 Council for approval. Mr. Chandler noted that the way the contract is written, the only change
173 orders that the Public Work Director or himself would have the authority to sign are those that
174 have no financial implications. He stated all other change orders would require the Mayor's
175 approval, but he is comfortable changing that section of the contract to provide the Council with
176 more oversight. Mayor Taylor stated he is supportive of full transparency and stated that all
177 change orders will be provided to the Council for approval, unless there is an emergency
178 situation, and in that case he will notify the Council of the need for the change order via email or
179 telephone. Council Member Bailey thanked the Mayor for that gesture. Mr. Call stated that it is
180 not necessary to amend the contract to incorporate the changes to the process for approving
181 change orders. Council Member Bailey asked if it is necessary to take action to formalize the
182 internal process that has been decided upon regarding Council oversight of change orders. Mr.
183 Call stated the Council can adopt a resolution at a future meeting formalizing that process.
184 Council Member Bailey stated he is comfortable with that.

185 Council Member Satterthwaite reiterated that he would like the timeline for the project amended
186 and noted he would like the project completed before winter. Council Member Urry stated that
187 he spoke to a family member that works with Lundahl on a regular basis and he communicated
188 that there is no finer contractor in the State to work with. Mr. Young stated he feels a six-month
189 timeline is too short, especially with a \$1,000 per day penalty, but he noted he would be willing
190 to discuss the recommendation with his company. Mayor Taylor asked what would happen if the
191 buildings were completed, but the asphalt is not laid before mid-November. Mr. Young stated
192 there would just be a dirt parking lot at the facility. He added that in the past there have been
193 freezing conditions in October and the asphalt plants have shut down, so it is not always possible
194 to lay asphalt until mid-November. Council Member Bailey asked if it is possible to lay the
195 asphalt before the rest of the project is complete. Mr. Young stated he will make every attempt to
196 do that and he has done that in the past, but the end result is that the asphalt as well as curb and
197 gutter at the site are worn by the time the project is completed and turned over to the owner.
198

199 Council Member Bailey then stated the contract includes \$5,300 for the upgrade to the air
200 conditioning units on the building, but he does not remember approving that component of the
201 project. Mr. Chandler stated that was actually included in the amount that was approved by the
202 Council at the last meeting. He added there is \$180,000 in the budget for the potential
203 requirement to bring fill dirt to the site, but that money may not actually be spent. He added
204 there is \$30,000 for the connection fees, but that is low so an additional \$70,000 was added to
205 make that number more realistic.
206

207 **Council Member Bailey moved to approve Agreement A13-2014 between North Ogden**
208 **City and Lundahl Building for the purpose of building a Public Works facility. Council**
209 **Member Satterthwaite seconded the motion.**
210

211 Council Member Satterthwaite reiterated his desire that Lundahl try their hardest to complete the
212 project before winter. Mayor Taylor added that the Council will be provided with monthly
213 updates regarding the progress of the project.
214

215 **Voting on the motion:**
216

217 **Council Member Bailey** **aye**

218 **Council Member Satterthwaite** **aye**

219 **Council Member Urry** **aye**
220

221 **The motion passed unanimously.**
222

223 Council Member Bailey stated he would like an item to be added to a future agenda to allow the
224 Council to formalize the process by which change orders will be considered and approved.
225 Mayor Taylor stated the item will be added to the June 10 agenda. He reported a ground
226 breaking ceremony will be held on June 3 at the site of the future building.
227

228 Council Member Bailey stated he understands some exploratory work has been done on the site
229 and he asked if anything was learned through that work. Mr. Young stated he does not know the
230 answer to that question this evening.

231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276

6. PUBLIC HEARING TO RECEIVE COMMENT ON THE TENTATIVE BUDGET FOR FY 2014-2015

A staff memo from Finance Director Steele explained State Statute 10-6-114 requires that the City Council “hold a public hearing on the budgets tentatively adopted. All interested persons in attendance shall be given an opportunity to be heard, for or against, the estimates of revenue and expenditures or any item thereof in the tentative budget of any fund.” A few items have changed from the original tentative budget presented a couple of weeks ago. The Council packet included a revised Tentative Budget which shows those changes. The changes are outlined in a page inserted after the cover page.

Mr. Steele reviewed his staff memo as well as provided a brief overview of the changes that were made to that tentative budget as follows:

Two Special Revenue Funds were eliminated – The City Council has decided to hold town hall meetings to receive feedback on these proposed funds before they are implemented.

- A Street Lighting Special Revenue Fund – This fund would have received its revenue from a \$1 charge on resident’s utility bills. The revenue received would have been expended on new street lights throughout the city.
- A Street Construction Special Revenue Fund – This fund would have received its revenue from a \$3 charge on resident’s utility bills. The money would have been set aside for future street construction projects.

Four Capital Projects were eliminated

- \$25,000 for re-asphalting of Oaklawn Park parking lot – Capital Projects Fund
- \$17,086 for a sidewalk on 300 East and Pleasant View Drive – Capital Projects Fund
- \$65,000 for Sleepy Hollow Ditch – Storm Water Fund
- \$56,000 for 2550 North Inlet Piping - Storm Water Fund

Public Works Building

- The City Council decided to proceed with the construction of the Public Works Facility and set a budget of \$3,349,439. \$500,000 of the budget will be transferred in from other funds; \$125,000 each from the General Fund, Water Fund, Sewer Fund and Storm Water Fund. The balance will come from Capital Improvement Fund balance.

Utility Rates

- The City Council decided to increase the following utility rates:
 - \$1.67 water rate increase
 - .75¢ storm water rate increase
- Total rate increase will be \$2.42

A proposed new, temporary part-time position in the Parks Department was eliminated. This position would have focused on park sprinkler maintenance. Currently, the Parks Department fixes broken or malfunctioning sprinklers as they become aware of them. The Council decided they are content with the way the city parks are being maintained currently and eliminated the

277 position from next year's budget.

278

279 Council Member Urry stated there was a discussion regarding the change to the cost for a second
280 garbage can and he asked if that was changed in the budget. Mr. Steele stated that change will
281 be included in the City's consolidated fee schedule, which will be presented at the June 10
282 meeting.

283

284 Mayor Taylor opened the public hearing at 7:28 p.m. There were no visitors present wishing to
285 make public comment.

286

287 **Council Member Satterthwaite moved to close the public hearing at 7:28 p.m. Council**
288 **Member Bailey seconded the motion.**

289

290 **Voting on the motion:**

291

292 **Council Member Bailey** aye

293 **Council Member Satterthwaite** aye

294 **Council Member Urry** aye

295

296 **The motion passed unanimously.**

297

298 Mayor Taylor stated the Council, Administration, and staff have had extensive discussions
299 regarding this budget and the Council has a good understanding of the items included therein;
300 steps are being taken to ensure there will be funding for future capital needs. He thanked staff
301 for their hard work on the preparation of the budget. Mayor Taylor noted the Council will take
302 final action on the budget at the June 10 meeting.

303

304 He stated Administration would like to add one item to the budget for a joint sidewalk project
305 with Harrisville and Pleasant View; the sidewalk would be on 2550 North approaching Majestic
306 Elementary School. He provided a brief history of the need for the project and noted North
307 Ogden's cost for an asphalt path in the area would be \$20,000 and he asked the Council to
308 discuss the issue this evening to determine if the funding should be included in the budget that
309 will be considered on June 10. Mr. Chandler then provided a brief history of the Majestic
310 Elementary sidewalk issue. He also reviewed a map of the area to identify the portion of the
311 path that would be located in North Ogden City.

312

313 Council Member Urry inquired as to the proposed width of the path. Mr. Chandler stated the
314 \$20,000 quote would provide for a four foot path, but there have also been discussions regarding
315 constructing a six foot path.

316

317 Council Member Bailey asked if there are alternatives to constructing the path along 2550 North
318 or if the route that is being discussed tonight has been determined the best route. Mr. Chandler
319 stated that this is the best route to connect students to the school. Council Member Bailey asked
320 if there will be a requirement to construct curb, gutter, and sidewalk in the area in the future.
321 Mayor Taylor answered yes, but noted that will not occur until the vacant property is developed,
322 which will likely not occur for many years. There was a general discussion regarding the

323 location of the path and the potential future width of the roadway when vacant parcels are
324 developed and Council Member Satterthwaite asked if it is possible to construct curb, gutter, and
325 sidewalk at this time in the place where it would be located in the future upon development. Mr.
326 Chandler stated the City would need to gain approval from the property owner. Council Member
327 Bailey stated the City would be completing a project with taxpayer dollars that would otherwise
328 be completed by a developer in the future. Mayor Taylor added the owners have been willing to
329 offer an easement for the path to provide safety measures for the students.
330

331 Mr. Chandler then provided historical information regarding the City's participation in past
332 school sidewalk projects. There was a brief discussion regarding why the City participated in
333 certain sidewalk projects that could have otherwise been the responsibility of private property
334 owners or businesses that developed their property.
335

336 Council Member Urry stated that in the past 2550 North was a main thoroughfare, but that is no
337 longer the case and most motorists use 2700 North. He stated he thinks it is important to look at
338 the traffic count on the road and determine the number of vehicles that are local traffic taking
339 kids to and from school. He stated that it may be better to consider a special improvement
340 district (SID) and if a SID is not approved the path should not be built. He relayed some
341 personal experiences that have occurred in his past relative to school sidewalks and noted that he
342 feels it would be most appropriate to ask parents of students as well as the school's
343 parent/teacher association (PTA) to gather together and figure out a way to complete the project.
344 He stated he understands Pleasant View does not have funding for their portion of the project at
345 this time, so if North Ogden constructs the path there will be no Pleasant View connection.
346 Mayor Taylor stated there are two portions of the path located in Pleasant View and that city is
347 seeking grant funding to assist in completing the project.
348

349 Dave Wallace, Principal of Majestic Elementary School, thanked Mr. Chandler for the accurate
350 history relative to the need for the project and noted the safety of at least seventy children is
351 compromised due to the lack of a sidewalk on 2550 North. He concluded that the school has the
352 highest population of any school in the Weber School District.
353

354 Council Member Bailey stated if the school has the largest population there is a likelihood that
355 the school boundaries will be redrawn and the students that will be served by this path will be
356 rerouted to North Ogden Elementary, which will render the path useless. Mr. Wallace stated
357 there are currently no discussions regarding redistricting.
358

359 Council Member Satterthwaite asked if it was an option in the past to bus the affected children to
360 the school. Mr. Wallace answered yes and noted that was due to the fact that not all buses that
361 served the school were full, but homes have been built and all buses are now full and cannot
362 accommodate the children that live within the defined walking distance for the school.
363

364 Council Member Urry stated that it may be an option to use the Utah Transit Authority (UTA) to
365 transport the students to school, which is done in areas of Salt Lake City.
366

367 Liz Putnam, PTA President for Majestic Elementary School, stated that when bussing was
368 discontinued for students within walking distance of the school there were many angry parents

369 because many of the affected students come from single parent families that do not have the
370 ability to drop off or pick up their children due to their employment. She stated she has tried to
371 accommodate carpool groups, but there are still children walking to and from school on 2550
372 North and it is very dangerous; the project is very important to her and other parents in the
373 neighborhood.

374
375 Council Member Urry stated he does not doubt the importance, but he thinks the project needs to
376 be a collaborative effort. Council Member Satterthwaite agreed it is important to consider all
377 options for completing the project and perhaps brainstorm ideas for addressing the problem.
378 Council Member Bailey added there are many neighborhoods in the City where kids walk to
379 school on roads that are less than safe and perhaps the City should be working with the PTA's of
380 all the schools in the City to determine prioritization of sidewalk projects. Mr. Wallace
381 encouraged the Council to walk along 2550 North just once and they will understand it is a very
382 dangerous route due to the higher speed limit on the road, the lack of road shoulders, and the
383 amount of traffic. Ms. Putnam agreed and stated there is much more traffic on the road than just
384 the traffic generated by parents dropping off or picking up their children from the school.

385
386 Council Member Urry reiterated he would like for a Council Member to be tasked with working
387 with the school and PTA to identify options for completing the project. Council Member
388 Satterthwaite stated he would like to look at the options in a global manner and determine other
389 areas in the City that need attention. Mayor Taylor stated that the section of road in North
390 Ogden seems to be the most dangerous stretch of the road and even if the other cities do not
391 participate, he thinks the project is important especially since the school and PTA may be willing
392 to participate in fundraising for the project. He stated the project can be considered over the
393 summer months and various funding options can be addressed. There was a brief general
394 discussion about options for transporting children to and from the school as well as funding
395 options for the project. Mr. Wallace stated it is not realistic to expect a group of 70 families to
396 raise the amount of money needed for the project. Council Member Bailey stated it is important
397 to move forward in organizing a meeting between the stakeholders in the project. Council
398 Member Satterthwaite agreed, but reiterated he wants to look at the issue globally. Mayor
399 Taylor stated the Administration will proceed with scheduling meetings and he will inform the
400 Council of those meetings to give them the opportunity to attend. Council Member Satterthwaite
401 asked that staff research grant opportunities that can be discussed during those meetings.
402 Council Member Urry stated he will make contact with UTA to determine if it is possible for
403 them to add a route that would bus the students to the school. Council Member Bailey stated he
404 would like to consider creating a comprehensive plan for safety sidewalks for schools in the City
405 so that not only the 'squeaky wheel' is given priority.

406 407 **7. PUBLIC COMMENTS**

408
409 There were no public comments.

410 411 **8. CITY COUNCIL, MAYOR, AND STAFF COMMENTS**

412
413 Council Member Satterthwaite again thanked former Council Member Fawson for his service to
414 the City. He then referenced the approval of the contract for the construction of the Public

415 Works facility and stated there is much history regarding that project. He is happy with the
416 scope of the project and the fact that it will be completed without incurring debt. He
417 complimented the Council and staff for making that happen.

418
419 Council Member Bailey echoed Council Member Satterthwaite's comments.

420
421 Mayor Taylor stated the City received a thank you letter from AYSO for allowing them to use
422 the City offices on a Saturday for soccer registration. He added the City also received a letter
423 from an intern from Weber High School expressing how much she enjoyed her time in North
424 Ogden and working with Mr. Chandler and City Recorder Spendlove. He then reported there
425 will be a City hosted blood drive on June 20 from 11:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. He concluded he has
426 received annual reports from UTA and Intermountain Health Care (IHC) and he will place copies
427 of the reports in the lobby for viewing by anyone interested. He reminded the Council of the
428 ground breaking ceremony for the Public Works facility next Tuesday, June 3 and added that
429 following that ceremony there will be a work session regarding economic development.

430
431 **9. ADJOURNMENT**

432
433 **Council Member Bailey moved to adjourn. Council Member Satterthwaite seconded the**
434 **motion.**

435
436 **Voting on the motion:**

437
438 **Council Member Bailey aye**
439 **Council Member Satterthwaite aye**
440 **Council Member Urry aye**

441
442 **The motion passed unanimously.**

443
444 **The meeting adjourned at 8:30 p.m.**

445
446 **RDA**

447
448 **1. PUBLIC HEARING TO RECEIVE COMMENTS ON THE TENTATIVE BUDGET**
449 **FOR FY 2014-2015**

450
451 Mayor Taylor provided a brief explanation of the purpose of the RDA, with a focus on economic
452 development projects.

453
454 Mayor Taylor opened the public hearing at 8:31 p.m. There were no visitors wishing to make
455 public comment.

456 **Board Member Satterthwaite moved to close the public hearing at 8:31 p.m. Board**
457 **Member Urry seconded the motion.**

458
459 **Voting on the motion:**

460

461 **Board Member Bailey** aye
462 **Board Member Satterthwaite** aye
463 **Board Member Urry** aye

464
465 **The motion passed unanimously.**

466
467 Board Member Bailey explained that property owners within an RDA don't begin paying
468 property taxes at a heightened rate at the expiration of the RDA period. He stated that the
469 increase happens throughout the RDA period as assessed valuations increase. He stated that at
470 the end of the RDA period all taxing entities begin receiving their share of the tax dollars on
471 property that now has a significantly higher assessed value.

472
473 **2. ADJOURNMENT**

474
475 **Board Member Urry moved to adjourn. Board Member Satterthwaite seconded the**
476 **motion.**

477
478 **Voting on the motion:**

479
480 **Board Member Bailey** aye
481 **Board Member Satterthwaite** aye
482 **Board Member Urry** aye

483
484 **The motion passed unanimously.**

485
486
487 **The meeting adjourned at 8:35 p.m.**

488
489
490
491
492 _____
493 Brent Taylor, Mayor

494
495 _____
496 S. Annette Spendlove, MMC
497 City Recorder

498
499 _____
500 Date Approved