

1 NORTH OGDEN CITY COUNCIL MEETING

2
3 May 27, 2014

4
5 The North Ogden City Council convened in an open meeting on May 27, 2014 at 6:32 p.m. in
6 the North Ogden City Council Chambers at 505 East 2600 North. Notice of time, place and
7 agenda of the meeting was delivered to each member of the City Council, posted on the bulletin
8 board at the municipal office and posted to the Utah State Website on March 20, 2014. Notice of
9 the annual meeting schedule was published in the Standard-Examiner on January 24, 2014.

10
11 PRESENT: Brent Taylor Mayor
12 Kent Bailey Council Member
13 Lynn Satterthwaite Council Member
14 James Urry Council Member

15
16 EXCUSED: Cheryl Stoker Council Member
17 Phillip Swanson Council Member

18
19 STAFF PRESENT: Ronald F. Chandler City Manager
20 S. Annette Spendlove City Recorder/ H.R. Director
21 Jon Call City Attorney

22
23 VISITORS: Alex Onesto Elizabeth Putnam
24 Jason Obroy Blake Welling
25 David Godfrey Steve Wynn
26 Dave Wallace Myron Joyner
27 Jaxxon Colledge Adam Pendleton
28 Rachel Trotter

29
30 Mayor Taylor welcomed those in attendance.

31
32 Council Member Bailey offered the invocation and led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

33
34 **CONSENT AGENDA**

35 **1. Consideration to approve the minutes of the April 22, 2014 City Council Meeting**

36
37 Mayor Taylor stated Council Member Bailey recommended two typographical corrections to the
38 minutes and City Recorder Spendlove briefly summarized the changes.

39
40 **Council Member Bailey moved to approve the consent agenda. Council Member**
41 **Satterthwaite seconded the motion.**

42
43 **Voting on the motion:**

44
45 **Council Member Bailey aye**
46 **Council Member Satterthwaite aye**
47 **Council Member Urry aye**

48 **The motion passed unanimously.**

49

50 **ACTIVE AGENDA**

51

52 **1. PUBLIC COMMENTS**

53

54 David Godfrey, 458 E. 1800 N., asked if the Council is planning to install improved signs
55 welcoming people to North Ogden City; the signs currently in place are rather old and
56 unattractive and he asked if the Council would consider improving them in the future.

57

58 Mayor Taylor reported the Council and Administration is considering plans for beautifying
59 Washington Boulevard, especially at the entrances to the City.

60

61 Mayor Taylor then reported items three and four advertised on the agenda will be moved to
62 future Council meeting agendas. He added that item two will be heard when former Council
63 Member Fawson arrives.

64

65 **2. PRESENTATION TO FORMER COUNCIL MEMBER FAWSON**

66

67 Mayor Taylor stated former Council Member Fawson was elected to the City Council in 2011
68 and served for 2.5 years before being selected by voting delegates to fill a vacancy in the House
69 of Representatives District Seven, which covers North Ogden, a portion of Pleasant View, and a
70 portion of Ogden. He is now serving the community in the State Legislature and North Ogden
71 now has a voice on Capitol Hill. Mayor Taylor stated it was a pleasure to work with Mr. Fawson
72 over the past couple of years and he brought a lot of fun and lightheartedness to the body. He
73 then mentioned some contributions Mr. Fawson has made to the City, including: helping to
74 jumpstart the City's economic development program by bringing in former Ogden Mayor
75 Matthew Godfrey's firm as the economic development consultant; helping to increase reserve
76 funding in the City's infrastructure funds that are so important for the long-term health of the
77 City's infrastructure; and helping with the initial push to create an employee compensation
78 committee to review employee salaries. He thanked Mr. Fawson for all he has done for the City
79 and wished him the best in his new capacity.

80

81 Council Member Bailey stated he had the opportunity of seeking office at the same time as Mr.
82 Fawson and he has appreciated serving with him; they have not always agreed, but always
83 worked to reach a consensus and he appreciates Mr. Fawson's willingness to deal with hard
84 issues in a congenial manner.

85

86 Council Member Satterthwaite stated he watched Mr. Fawson since he was elected in 2011 and
87 he appreciates the things he did as a Council Member. He stated he appreciated Mr. Fawson's
88 role in creating a civil Council. He added Mr. Fawson's family is present this evening and it is
89 important to recognize the fact that his family has sacrificed by supporting him in his position as
90 a Council Member and now as a member of the House of Representatives. He stated he expects
91 great things from Mr. Fawson.

92

93 Council Member Urry stated he was grateful for the opportunity to serve briefly with Mr.
94 Fawson; he brought a lot of sensibility and civility to the Council and he appreciated the role that
95 Mr. Fawson had in reducing the cost and budget for the Public Works Facility project.

96
97 Mayor Taylor presented Mr. Fawson with his nameplate as well as a plaque and letter expressing
98 the City's appreciation for Mr. Fawson's service.
99

100 Mr. Fawson stated that it was so enjoyable to work with the Council and he feels they worked
101 together for the best outcomes; it was a pleasure to serve North Ogden and he looks forward to
102 serving the greater community. Mr. Fawson then received a round of applause from those in
103 attendance.

104 105 **3. VENTURE ACADEMY PRESENTATION**

106
107 This item will be heard at the June 10, 2014 Council meeting.
108

109 **4. DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION TO CONSIDER REQUEST ON MAXIMUM** 110 **LENGTH OF A CUL-DE-SAC FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY** 111 **100 W. FROM ELBERTA DR. TO 3100 NORTH**

112
113 This item will be heard at a future Council meeting.
114

115 **5. DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION TO CONSIDER A CONTRACT BETWEEN** 116 **NORTH OGDEN CITY AND LUNDAHL BUILDING FOR THE PURPOSE OF** 117 **BUILDING A PUBLIC WORKS FACILITY**

118
119 Mayor Taylor provided a brief overview of the proposed contract and stated the terms are based
120 upon the Council's desires regarding the construction options for the facility. City Manager
121 Chandler briefly focused on the schedule for substantial completion, which is 365 days from the
122 commencement date; if the contractor fails to complete the project within that timeframe they
123 will be required to pay the City damages in the sum of \$1,000 per day. He stated this is a fairly
124 standard timeframe for this type of project. He then added the contract price is \$2,951,847 and
125 he reviewed the components of the project that make up that price. He stated the project budget
126 is actually slightly higher and includes a five percent contingency (\$147,092) to cover any
127 necessary change orders to the project. He provided a brief explanation of the City
128 representatives that will be authorized to approve or negotiate change orders for the project as
129 well as the four types of changes that can be made to the contract. He briefly reviewed the basic
130 design and layout of the facility. He concluded the contract has been reviewed by and signed by
131 Lundahl and they are awaiting approval of the City Council and execution of the contract by the
132 City.
133

134 City Attorney Call stated that the contract is based on a fairly standard form contract, which
135 means it is very even-handed and not written to benefit one party or the other.
136

137 Council Member Satterthwaite stated he would like to have a discussion about the substantial
138 completion date of the project because in past discussions it has been communicated to the

139 Council that it may be possible to have the project completed before winter and he would rather
140 stick to that timeline rather than a 365 day timeline.

141
142 Dallin Young, Lundahl project manager, stated he is not very familiar with the contract, but his
143 company usually asks for a timeline that will afford some flexibility to deal with unforeseen
144 circumstances that may arise throughout the project. He stated that if certain components of the
145 project, such as asphalt, are not completed before mid-November, it is necessary to wait until the
146 following spring to complete those components, which would extend the timeline of the project.
147 Council Member Satterthwaite stated he understands it would be necessary to change the
148 substantial completion date for the project if a change order to the contract were approved, but he
149 wants the initial timeline for the project to be consistent with what is believed to be realistic for
150 the project. Mr. Young reiterated the only thing that may push the project to 365 days is failure
151 to complete asphalt work at the site prior to mid-November, but there will be a big push to
152 complete that component of the project this fall. Mayor Taylor asked if asphalt work would need
153 to be completed in order for an occupancy permit to be issued. Mr. Young answered yes. He
154 added that the seven month timeline that has been discussed could be included in the contract
155 now and reviewed again this fall to determine if it is still realistic. Council Member
156 Satterthwaite stated that a couple of meetings ago there was discussion about the potential for
157 delays in approving a budget for the project delaying the project timeline and the Council was
158 told that would not be the case. Mr. Young stated that his company has been waiting for
159 approval of a contract before commencing work on the project; he added that November is six
160 months from now and it could be difficult to get all site work done in that amount of time.

161
162 Council Member Bailey stated that he is concerned about the proposed process for approving
163 change orders to the contract; when discussed previously he asked that there be some form of
164 Council oversight for all potential contract change orders. He stated he still feels there should be
165 some Council representation when dealing with change orders or that all change orders should
166 come before the Council for a vote; it has been his experience in the past that contingency
167 funding for projects of this type is always spent and he wants to have some sort of assurance that
168 the Council will have oversight to ensure that does not happen on this project. Council Member
169 Satterthwaite agreed and noted the Council is responsible to approve the budget for the project so
170 they should be made aware when there is consideration to allocating contingency funds to the
171 project. Mayor Taylor stated he would be comfortable providing all change orders to the
172 Council for approval. Mr. Chandler noted that the way the contract is written, the only change
173 orders that the Public Work Director or himself would have the authority to sign are those that
174 have no financial implications. He stated all other change orders would require the Mayor's
175 approval, but he is comfortable changing that section of the contract to provide the Council with
176 more oversight. Mayor Taylor stated he is supportive of full transparency and stated that all
177 change orders will be provided to the Council for approval, unless there is an emergency
178 situation, and in that case he will notify the Council of the need for the change order via email or
179 telephone. Council Member Bailey thanked the Mayor for that gesture. Mr. Call stated that it is
180 not necessary to amend the contract to incorporate the changes to the process for approving
181 change orders. Council Member Bailey asked if it is necessary to take action to formalize the
182 internal process that has been decided upon regarding Council oversight of change orders. Mr.
183 Call stated the Council can adopt a resolution at a future meeting formalizing that process.
184 Council Member Bailey stated he is comfortable with that.

185
186 Council Member Satterthwaite reiterated that he would like the timeline for the project amended
187 and noted he would like the project completed before winter. Council Member Urry stated that
188 he spoke to a family member that works with Lundahl on a regular basis and he communicated
189 that there is no finer contractor in the State to work with. Mr. Young stated he feels a six-month
190 timeline is too short, especially with a \$1,000 per day penalty, but he noted he would be willing
191 to discuss the recommendation with his company. Mayor Taylor asked what would happen if the
192 buildings were completed, but the asphalt is not laid before mid-November. Mr. Young stated
193 there would just be a dirt parking lot at the facility. He added that in the past there have been
194 freezing conditions in October and the asphalt plants have shut down, so it is not always possible
195 to lay asphalt until mid-November. Council Member Bailey asked if it is possible to lay the
196 asphalt before the rest of the project is complete. Mr. Young stated he will make every attempt to
197 do that and he has done that in the past, but the end result is that the asphalt as well as curb and
198 gutter at the site are worn by the time the project is completed and turned over to the owner.

199
200 Council Member Bailey then stated the contract includes \$5,300 for the upgrade to the air
201 conditioning units on the building, but he does not remember approving that component of the
202 project. Mr. Chandler stated that was actually included in the amount that was approved by the
203 Council at the last meeting. He added there is \$180,000 in the budget for the potential
204 requirement to bring fill dirt to the site, but that money may not actually be spent. He added
205 there is \$30,000 for the connection fees, but that is low so an additional \$70,000 was added to
206 make that number more realistic.

207
208 **Council Member Bailey moved to approve Agreement A13-2014 between North Ogden**
209 **City and Lundahl Building for the purpose of building a Public Works facility. Council**
210 **Member Satterthwaite seconded the motion.**

211
212 Council Member Satterthwaite reiterated his desire that Lundahl try their hardest to complete the
213 project before winter. Mayor Taylor added that the Council will be provided with monthly
214 updates regarding the progress of the project.

215
216 **Voting on the motion:**

217
218 **Council Member Bailey** **aye**
219 **Council Member Satterthwaite** **aye**
220 **Council Member Urry** **aye**

221
222 **The motion passed unanimously.**

223
224 Council Member Bailey stated he would like an item to be added to a future agenda to allow the
225 Council to formalize the process by which change orders will be considered and approved.
226 Mayor Taylor stated the item will be added to the June 10 agenda. He reported a ground
227 breaking ceremony will be held on June 3 at the site of the future building.
228

229 Council Member Bailey stated he understands some exploratory work has been done on the site
230 and he asked if anything was learned through that work. Mr. Young stated he does not know the
231 answer to that question this evening.
232

233 **6. PUBLIC HEARING TO RECEIVE COMMENT ON THE TENTATIVE BUDGET**
234 **FOR FY 2014-2015**
235

236 A staff memo from Finance Director Steele explained State Statute 10-6-114 requires that the
237 City Council “hold a public hearing on the budgets tentatively adopted. All interested persons in
238 attendance shall be given an opportunity to be heard, for or against, the estimates of revenue and
239 expenditures or any item thereof in the tentative budget of any fund.” A few items have changed
240 from the original tentative budget presented a couple of weeks ago. The Council packet included
241 a revised Tentative Budget which shows those changes. The changes are outlined in a page
242 inserted after the cover page.
243

244 Mr. Steele reviewed his staff memo as well as provided a brief overview of the changes that were
245 made to that tentative budget as follows:
246

247 Two Special Revenue Funds were eliminated – The City Council has decided to hold town hall
248 meetings to receive feedback on these proposed funds before they are implemented.

- 249 • A Street Lighting Special Revenue Fund – This fund would have received its revenue
250 from a \$1 charge on resident’s utility bills. The revenue received would have been
251 expended on new street lights throughout the city.
- 252 • A Street Construction Special Revenue Fund – This fund would have received its revenue
253 from a \$3 charge on resident’s utility bills. The money would have been set aside for
254 future street construction projects.
255

256 Four Capital Projects were eliminated

- 257 • \$25,000 for re-asphalting of Oaklawn Park parking lot – Capital Projects Fund
- 258 • \$17,086 for a sidewalk on 300 East and Pleasant View Drive – Capital Projects Fund
- 259 • \$65,000 for Sleepy Hollow Ditch – Storm Water Fund
- 260 • \$56,000 for 2550 North Inlet Piping - Storm Water Fund
261

262 Public Works Building

- 263 • The City Council decided to proceed with the construction of the Public Works Facility
264 and set a budget of \$3,349,439. \$500,000 of the budget will be transferred in from other
265 funds; \$125,000 each from the General Fund, Water Fund, Sewer Fund and Storm Water
266 Fund. The balance will come from Capital Improvement Fund balance.
267

268 Utility Rates

- 269 • The City Council decided to increase the following utility rates:
 - 270 ○ \$1.67 water rate increase
 - 271 ○ .75¢ storm water rate increase
- 272 • Total rate increase will be \$2.42
273

274 A proposed new, temporary part-time position in the Parks Department was eliminated. This
275 position would have focused on park sprinkler maintenance. Currently, the Parks Department
276 fixes broken or malfunctioning sprinklers as they become aware of them. The Council decided
277 they are content with the way the city parks are being maintained currently and eliminated the
278 position from next year's budget.
279

280 Council Member Urry stated there was a discussion regarding the change to the cost for a second
281 garbage can and he asked if that was changed in the budget. Mr. Steele stated that change will
282 be included in the City's consolidated fee schedule, which will be presented at the June 10
283 meeting.
284

285 Mayor Taylor opened the public hearing at 7:28 p.m. There were no visitors present wishing to
286 make public comment.
287

288 **Council Member Satterthwaite moved to close the public hearing at 7:28 p.m. Council**
289 **Member Bailey seconded the motion.**
290

291 **Voting on the motion:**
292

293 **Council Member Bailey** **aye**
294 **Council Member Satterthwaite** **aye**
295 **Council Member Urry** **aye**
296

297 **The motion passed unanimously.**
298

299 Mayor Bailey stated the Council, Administration, and staff have had extensive discussions
300 regarding this budget and the Council has a good understanding of the items included therein;
301 steps are being taken to ensure there will be funding for future capital needs. He thanked staff
302 for their hard work on the preparation of the budget. Mayor Taylor noted the Council will take
303 final action on the budget at the June 10 meeting.
304

305 He stated Administration would like to add one item to the budget for a joint sidewalk project
306 with Harrisville and Pleasant View; the sidewalk would be on 2550 North approaching Majestic
307 Elementary School. He provided a brief history of the need for the project and noted North
308 Ogden's cost for an asphalt path in the area would be \$20,000 and he asked the Council to
309 discuss the issue this evening to determine if the funding should be included in the budget that
310 will be considered on June 10. Mr. Chandler then provided a brief history of the Majestic
311 Elementary sidewalk issue. He also reviewed a map of the area to identify the portion of the
312 path that would be located in North Ogden City.
313

314 Council Member Urry inquired as to the proposed width of the path. Mr. Chandler stated the
315 \$20,000 quote would provide for a four foot path, but there have also been discussions regarding
316 constructing a six foot path.
317

318 Council Member Bailey asked if there are alternatives to constructing the path along 2550 North
319 or if the route that is being discussed tonight has been determined the best route. Mr. Chandler

320 stated that this is the best route to connect students to the school. Council Member Bailey asked
321 if there will be a requirement to construct curb, gutter, and sidewalk in the area in the future.
322 Mayor Taylor answered yes, but noted that will not occur until the vacant property is developed,
323 which will likely not occur for many years. There was a general discussion regarding the
324 location of the path and the potential future width of the roadway when vacant parcels are
325 developed and Council Member Satterthwaite asked if it is possible to construct curb, gutter, and
326 sidewalk at this time in the place where it would be located in the future upon development. Mr.
327 Chandler stated the City would need to gain approval from the property owner. Council Member
328 Bailey stated the City would be completing a project with taxpayer dollars that would otherwise
329 be completed by a developer in the future. Mayor Taylor added the owners have been willing to
330 offer an easement for the path to provide safety measures for the students.

331
332 Mr. Chandler then provided historical information regarding the City's participation in past
333 school sidewalk projects. There was a brief discussion regarding why the City participated in
334 certain sidewalk projects that could have otherwise been the responsibility of private property
335 owners or businesses that developed their property.

336
337 Council Member Urry stated that in the past 2550 North was a main thoroughfare, but that is no
338 longer the case and most motorists use 2700 North. He stated he thinks it is important to look at
339 the traffic count on the road and determine the number of vehicles that are local traffic taking
340 kids to and from school. He stated that it may be better to consider a special improvement
341 district (SID) and if a SID is not approved the path should not be built. He relayed some
342 personal experiences that have occurred in his past relative to school sidewalks and noted that he
343 feels it would be most appropriate to ask parents of students as well as the school's
344 parent/teacher association (PTA) to gather together and figure out a way to complete the project.
345 He stated he understands Pleasant View does not have funding for their portion of the project at
346 this time, so if North Ogden constructs the path there will be no Pleasant View connection.
347 Mayor Taylor stated there are two portions of the path located in Pleasant View and that city is
348 seeking grant funding to assist in completing the project.

349
350 Dave Wallace, Principal of Majestic Elementary School, thanked Mr. Chandler for the accurate
351 history relative to the need for the project and noted the safety of at least seventy children is
352 compromised due to the lack of a sidewalk on 2550 North. He concluded that the school has the
353 highest population of any school in the Weber School District.

354
355 Council Member Bailey stated if the school has the largest population there is a likelihood that
356 the school boundaries will be redrawn and the students that will be served by this path will be
357 rerouted to North Ogden Elementary, which will render the path useless. Mr. Wallace stated
358 there are currently no discussions regarding redistricting.

359
360 Council Member Satterthwaite asked if it was an option in the past to bus the affected children to
361 the school. Mr. Wallace answered yes and noted that was due to the fact that not all buses that
362 served the school were full, but homes have been built and all buses are now full and cannot
363 accommodate the children that live within the defined walking distance for the school.

364

365 Council Member Urry stated that it may be an option to use the Utah Transit Authority (UTA) to
366 transport the students to school, which is done in areas of Salt Lake City.

367
368 Liz Putnam, PTA President for Majestic Elementary School, stated that when bussing was
369 discontinued for students within walking distance of the school there were many angry parents
370 because many of the affected students come from single parent families that do not have the
371 ability to drop off or pick up their children due to their employment. She stated she has tried to
372 accommodate carpool groups, but there are still children walking to and from school on 2550
373 North and it is very dangerous; the project is very important to her and other parents in the
374 neighborhood.

375
376 Council Member Urry stated he does not doubt the importance, but he thinks the project needs to
377 be a collaborative effort. Council Member Satterthwaite agreed it is important to consider all
378 options for completing the project and perhaps brainstorm ideas for addressing the problem.
379 Council Member Bailey added there are many neighborhoods in the City where kids walk to
380 school on roads that are less than safe and perhaps the City should be working with the PTA's of
381 all the schools in the City to determine prioritization of sidewalk projects. Mr. Wallace
382 encouraged the Council to walk along 2550 North just once and they will understand it is a very
383 dangerous route due to the higher speed limit on the road, the lack of road shoulders, and the
384 amount of traffic. Ms. Putnam agreed and stated there is much more traffic on the road than just
385 the traffic generated by parents dropping off or picking up their children from the school.

386
387 Council Member Urry reiterated he would like for a Council Member to be tasked with working
388 with the school and PTA to identify options for completing the project. Council Member
389 Satterthwaite stated he would like to look at the options in a global manner and determine other
390 areas in the City that need attention. Mayor Taylor stated that the section of road in North
391 Ogden seems to be the most dangerous stretch of the road and even if the other cities do not
392 participate, he thinks the project is important especially since the school and PTA may be willing
393 to participate in fundraising for the project. He stated the project can be considered over the
394 summer months and various funding options can be addressed. There was a brief general
395 discussion about options for transporting children to and from the school as well as funding
396 options for the project. Mr. Wallace stated it is not realistic to expect a group of 70 families to
397 raise the amount of money needed for the project. Council Member Bailey stated it is important
398 to move forward in organizing a meeting between the stakeholders in the project. Council
399 Member Satterthwaite agreed, but reiterated he wants to look at the issue globally. Mayor
400 Taylor stated the Administration will proceed with scheduling meetings and he will inform the
401 Council of those meetings to give them the opportunity to attend. Council Member Satterthwaite
402 asked that staff research grant opportunities that can be discussed during those meetings.
403 Council Member Urry stated he will make contact with UTA to determine if it is possible for
404 them to add a route that would bus the students to the school. Council Member Bailey stated he
405 would like to consider creating a comprehensive plan for safety sidewalks for schools in the City
406 so that not only the 'squeaky wheel' is given priority.

407
408
409
410

411 **7. PUBLIC COMMENTS**

412

413 There were no public comments.

414

415 **8. CITY COUNCIL, MAYOR, AND STAFF COMMENTS**

416

417 Council Member Satterthwaite again thanked former Council Member Fawson for his service to
418 the City. He then referenced the approval of the contract for the construction of the Public
419 Works facility and stated there is much history regarding that project. He is happy with the
420 scope of the project and the fact that it will be completed without incurring debt. He
421 complimented the Council and staff for making that happen.

422

423 Council Member Bailey echoed Council Member Satterthwaite's comments.

424

425 Mayor Taylor stated the City received a thank you letter from AYSO for allowing them to use
426 the City offices on a Saturday for soccer registration. He added the City also received a letter
427 from an intern from Weber High School expressing how much she enjoyed her time in North
428 Ogden and working with Mr. Chandler and City Recorder Spendlove. He then reported there
429 will be a City hosted blood drive on June 20 from 11:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. He concluded he has
430 received annual reports from UTA and Intermountain Health Care (IHC) and he will place copies
431 of the reports in the lobby for viewing by anyone interested. He reminded the Council of the
432 ground breaking ceremony for the Public Works facility next Tuesday, June 3 and added that
433 following that ceremony there will be a work session regarding economic development.

434

435 **9. ADJOURNMENT**

436

437 **Council Member Bailey moved to adjourn. Council Member Satterthwaite seconded the**
438 **motion.**

439

440 **Voting on the motion:**

441

442 **Council Member Bailey aye**

443 **Council Member Satterthwaite aye**

444 **Council Member Urry aye**

445

446 **The motion passed unanimously.**

447

448 **The meeting adjourned at 8:30 p.m.**

449

450 **RDA**

451

452 **1. PUBLIC HEARING TO RECEIVE COMMENTS ON THE TENTATIVE BUDGET**
453 **FOR FY 2014-2015**

454

455 Mayor Taylor provided a brief explanation of the purpose of the RDA, with a focus on economic
456 development projects.

457
458 Mayor Taylor opened the public hearing at 8:31 p.m. There were no visitors wishing to make
459 public comment.

460
461 **Board Member Satterthwaite moved to close the public hearing at 8:31 p.m. Board**
462 **Member Urry seconded the motion.**

463
464 **Voting on the motion:**

465
466 **Board Member Bailey aye**
467 **Board Member Satterthwaite aye**
468 **Board Member Urry aye**

469
470 **The motion passed unanimously.**

471
472 Board Member Bailey explained that at the expiration of the RDA period, all property owners
473 within the RDA begin paying property taxes at a heightened rate and all taxing entities receive a
474 share of those property tax revenues.

475
476 **2. ADJOURNMENT**

477
478 **Board Member Urry moved to adjourn. Board Member Satterthwaite seconded the**
479 **motion.**

480
481 **Voting on the motion:**

482
483 **Board Member Bailey aye**
484 **Board Member Satterthwaite aye**
485 **Board Member Urry aye**

486
487 **The motion passed unanimously.**

488
489
490 **The meeting adjourned at 8:35 p.m.**

491
492
493
494
495 _____
496 Brent Taylor, Mayor

497
498
499 _____
500 S. Annette Spendlove, MMC
501 City Recorder

502
503 _____
Date Approved