

**NORTH OGDEN CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES**

May 15, 2018

The North Ogden City Council convened in an open meeting on May 15, 2018 at 6:00 p.m. at the North Ogden City Office at 505 East 2600 North. Notice of time, place, and agenda of the meeting was posted on the bulletin board at the municipal office and posted to the Utah State Website on May 10, 2018. Notice of the annual meeting schedule was published in the Standard-Examiner on December 31, 2017.

PRESENT:	M. Brent Chugg Ryan Barker Blake Cevering Cheryl Stoker Phillip Swanson Carl Turner	Mayor Council Member Council Member Council Member Council Member Council Member	
STAFF PRESENT:	Jon Call Annette Spendlove Dave Espinoza Rob Scott Evan Nelson	City Administrator/Attorney City Recorder/HR Director Public Works Director Planning Director Finance Director	
VISITORS:	Paul Mackley Leonard Looney Matt Lund Susan Clements Sean Casey Diana Smith	Karen Mackley Julee Smith Candice Lund Kim Christensen Jim Flint Ian Barlow	Patrick Fearn Tyson Lund Stacey Giatras Stefanie Casey Jim Cole Amanda Mackley

Mayor Chugg called the meeting to order. Council Member Swanson offered the invocation and led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

ACTIVE AGENDA

1. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Paul Mackley, 730 E. 1750 N., stated he is here as part of a small community group concerned about the agenda item relating to cul-de-sac lengths. His daughter would like to build a home in the pasture area next to his home, which is currently located in Weber County unincorporated property. In order to build the home, the property must be

annexed and subdivided and as he began moving through the process to take care of those items, he found there would be some difficulty in proceeding due to allowed cul-de-sac lengths. The current maximum allowed cul-de-sac length is 600 feet and there is currently no provision for granting a variance. He is suggesting an ordinance amendment that would allow for a variance for development on infill properties. He provided a brief history of his property and the surrounding properties and stated he believes that the amendment he's recommending would allow for preservation of the rural nature of the area, while allowing his daughter to build her home as desired. He has worked with City staff to develop a less intensive subdivision plan that would be compatible with the international fire code and he is hopeful for Council support of his proposed amendment to allow him to move forward. He stated he has the support of his neighbors and others living in the area. He thanked the Council for their service to the City.

Jim Flint, 538 N. Main Street, stated he is also speaking about the same issue as Mr. Mackley. He wanted to address issues relating to water pressure, noting that the difference between a 600 and 750-foot cul-de-sac length is minimal; this is just one pound of pressure difference and he does not feel that is significant enough to enforce the current standard. He knows that the international fire code allows cities to enforce their own standards; that same code also includes two objective statements that can be interpreted two different ways. The first statement relates to the number of units located on a cul-de-sac and the second relates to cul-de-sac length. The code provides for a maximum cul-de-sac length of 750 feet and he is hopeful that the Council agrees that is an acceptable standard for North Ogden City. He concluded that the 600-foot cul-de-sac length standard has been in place for several years and it has worked for the City, but things have changed and a different standard may be more suitable at this time. He stated he believes a 750-foot length is appropriate, specifically for infill properties.

Pat Fearn, 1748 N. 775 E., stated he lives on the current cul-de-sac, and if the City chooses to allow Mr. Mackley to proceed with subdivision of the pasture area next to his home, he would prefer that the City allow a longer cul-de-sac in order to preserve the rural feeling of the area rather than requiring a through street.

Jim Cole, 1710 N. 775 E., stated he has lived in the City for 11 years, and one of the reasons he moved to North Ogden was because of the quietness and the rural feeling. He enjoys watching children ride on their bicycles without worrying about heavy traffic levels and he urged the council to support Mr. Mackley's proposed amendment to cul-de-sac lengths in order to preserve the feeling of their neighborhood.

Candice Lund, 2421 N. 400 E., stated Mr. Mackley is her father and she desires building a home in the pasture area next to his home. She echoed the previous comments made and indicated she is hopeful the council will support the proposed amendment to a cul-de-sac lengths in order for her to build her home without the need to make the current cul-de-sac a through street. She stated she likes the rural feeling of the area and she has two young children that will be playing on her property and she feels a cul-de-sac will provide more safety for them than a through street with heavier traffic levels.

Julee Smith, 835 E. 3350 N., stated she also wants to speak in favor of Mr. Mackley's proposed amendment. She feels what he is suggesting is reasonable and is a nice way to preserve the integrity of the area. She would like for Mrs. Lund and her husband to be able to build their home and stay in North Ogden as they are quality residents.

Matt Lund, 2421 N. 400 E., stated he feels it is worth acknowledging the benefit the proposed amendment will provide for the City. Many personal opinions have been expressed, but it is good to rely on facts as well; The proposed amendments will provide the City the opportunity to tie their current ordinances to a solid standard in the international fire code. This code allows for a 750-foot cul-de-sac length. If the international fire code is amended in the future, the City's code could also be amended; but for the time being, it is appropriate for the City's standard to reflect the standard in that code

Diana Smith, 715 E. 1750 N., stated she would also echo the comments made by her neighbors about the importance of preserving the rural feeling of their neighborhood. She asked that the council support the proposed amendment to allow cul-de-sac lengths up to 750 feet.

Karen Mackley, 730 E. 1750 N., read an email that she received from her neighbors, Mr. and Mrs. Carroll, who were unable to attend tonight's meeting.

“To whom it may concern: My husband and I live on the corner of 700 E. and 1750 N. and we are opposed to 1750 North being converted to a through street as 700 East is so wide that many people currently use it as a raceway. Many neighborhood children currently play on 1750 North because of its lower traffic levels. Again, we are opposed to 1750 North being a through Street.”

She then added her own thoughts; her family has lived on the street for many years and the location has been a blessing to her family, especially as her children were growing up. They enjoyed safety and quiet. She added that she does not want a large road running through her front yard, as that would negatively impact her property values in the event that she and her husband chose to sell their home at some point in the future. She stated there is no reason to extend the road and make it a through street, there would be many negative impacts including higher traffic levels and excessive speeds.

Ian Barlow, 1747 N. 775 E., stated that he is also opposed to 1750 North being extended as a through street. He cannot see a benefit of extending the road and would prefer that it remain a cul-de-sac with a longer length.

Amanda Mackley, 2421 N. 400 E., stated she grew up on 1750 North and it was a great haven for children. She is also opposed to the road being extended because she would like for the rural nature of the area to be preserved.

2. **DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION TO CONSIDER CUL-DE-SAC LENGTHS IN SUBDIVISIONS**

A staff memo from Planning Director Scott explained when the City is considering a legislative matter, the Planning Commission is acting as a recommending body to the City Council. The City has wide discretion in taking legislative action. Examples of legislative actions are general plan, zoning map, and land use text amendments. Legislative actions require that the Planning Commission give a recommendation to the City Council. Typically, the criteria for making a decision, related to a legislative matter, require compatibility with the general plan and existing codes.

Jim Flint, representing Mr. Paul Mackley appeared before the City Council on March 13, 2018. He requested that North Ogden City consider amending the cul-de-sac length standard. Mr. Mackley owns property at approximately 1750 North and 775 East that he would like to develop into a subdivision. The current desire of the developer is to allow for a long cul-de-sac to extend from 775 East without connecting to 1750 North.

Mr. Flint has provided a request letter and several exhibits to address this request.

The City Council requested that the Planning Commission consider this request and make a recommendation previous to a formal subdivision submittal. The Planning Commission originally was to discuss this request on April 4, 2018. At the request of Mr. Mackley, the item was tabled until April 18, 2018.

The following information was reviewed by the Planning Commission.

CONFORMANCE WITH THE GENERAL PLAN

The Vision for North Ogden

Improve current and future streets in terms of appearance, connectivity, and by providing additional City-wide choices for travel in addition to Washington Boulevard and 2700 North.

Housing Goals

Goal #1 – Increase Housing Quality and Variety

- Establish and adhere to high quality building and design standards for all housing types so that development enhances the community character.

Strategies

- Proactively evaluate current ordinances and policies to determine whether there are obstacles that can be removed or modified to achieve the community's housing goals.
- Create design standards to improve the overall quality of North Ogden's housing.
- Work with homeowners, landlords, and renters to maintain and improve existing properties.

Subdivision code requirements are legislative matters. As stated above, the City has wide discretion in establishing design standards for subdivisions. The following provision identifies the City policy / purpose of these regulations.

Title 12-1-2 Policy

- A. It is declared to be the policy of the City to consider the subdivision of land and the subsequent development of the platted subdivision as subject to the control of the City pursuant to the official general plan of the City for the orderly, planned, efficient and economical development of the City.
- B. Land to be laid out and platted shall be of such character that it can be used safely for building purposes without danger to health or peril from fire, flood or other menace, and land shall not be laid out and platted until available public facilities and improvements exist and proper provision has been made, where required, for drainage, culinary water, irrigation water, sewerage and capital improvements such as schools, parks, recreation facilities, electrical and natural gas distribution facilities, transportation facilities and improvements.
- C. The existing and proposed public improvements shall conform to and be properly related to the proposals shown in the general plan, official map and the capital facilities plan and program of the City, and it is intended that these regulations shall supplement and facilitate the enforcement of the provisions and standards contained in building and housing codes, public works standards, zoning ordinances, general plan, official map and land use plan, and capital facilities plan and program of the City.

Subdivision standards are the established policy for how subdivisions are to be approved and designed. In 2008 the City Council granted a variance for a cul-de-sac length as part of the Cold Water Cove subdivision located at 1300 East 2600 North. At that time a variance could be granted by the City Council. The current process for waivers is to process a special exception. Cul-de-sac length is specifically prohibited from being granted as a special exception.

In the attached minutes, there is a discussion at the City Council meeting as to the pros and cons of making a change to the cul-de-sac ordinance. Apparently, the code at one time allowed 400-foot-long cul-de-sacs that was changed to the current 600 feet. Mayor Harrop asks, "where does this stop?" This is the crux of this request.

The Fire Marshall provided information at the April 4, 2018 meeting as he was unavailable on April 18. Fire Marshall Barker addressed the Farr West amendment (the District is not supportive), water pressure, dead end lines, requirements for sprinkling systems, connectivity, and response times. (See Exhibit F April 4, 2018 Planning Commission minutes) During the April 18 planning commission meeting Mr. Flint represented that the Fire Marshall will support an amendment that relies on the International Fire Code. The Fire Marshall will be at the City Council meeting to represent the District's position.

City Engineer Lorin Gardner spoke at the meeting. He did a survey through the Utah City Engineer's Association regarding what other City standards are regarding cul-de-sac lengths. He found that there is a variety of standards with the average being between 400 to 600 feet in length. He recommended that instead of changing the code, that alternative designs be looked at regarding the Mackley property.

The memo offered the following summary of potential Land Use Authority Considerations:

There are several guiding principles that should be considered before there is a change in any code standards.

1. Is there a safety reason that needs to be addressed?
 - Staff comment: The current standards address safety issues of both City and fire codes.
2. Is a request a positive change for not only a specific property but does it make sense for the entire City?
 - Staff comment: There is a design solution that exists for the subject property that does not require a code amendment.
3. Does the request qualify for special exception consideration?
 - Staff comment: The special exception ordinance specifically prohibits granting an extension of cul-de-sac length.
4. Is there a national standard or best management practice that gives light to the rationale for a standard?
 - Staff comment: Cul-de-sac length standards vary from community to community. It is up to North Ogden City to determine what works best.
5. Does non-conforming cul-de-sac lengths give weight to making a change to the current standard?
 - Staff comment: A change to the cul-de-sac standard should make sense going forward. Non-conformities can be instructive but should not entirely be the basis for making a change to a standard.
6. Is the proposal consistent with the General Plan?
 - Staff comment: Connectivity is an issue identified as part of the City Vision. It is a policy decision on what is the appropriate cul-de-sac length.

The memo concluded the Planning Commission recommends maintaining the current cul-de-sac standard.

The Planning Commission recognizes that Mr. Barker will be at the City Council meeting when this is discussed. The Commission is concerned with making a change to the cul-de-sac standard for one project and the implications for the entire City.

The Planning Commission places a lot of merit on professionals in the field, e.g., City Engineer Gardner's comments.

Mr. Scott reviewed his staff memo.

Council Member Turner asked if there are any cul-de-sacs in the City longer than 600 feet in length. Mr. Scott answered yes, he believes there are two or three. He added that there was a focus on safety during the public comment period and he feels it is important to note that one issue that has not been discussed is the City's ability to provide Public Safety service on a cul-de-sac longer than 600 feet in length. It is also important to consider the City's ability to provide snow removal services on a longer cul-de-sac. Council Member Turner asked for a reasoning for the other cul-de-sacs that are longer than 600 feet in length. Mr. Scott indicated those cul-de-sacs were approved and constructed long before his time with the City and he is unsure of the information used to approve a longer cul-de-sac length.

Council Member Swanson inquired as to the reasoning for the current 600-foot cul-de-sac length. Mr. Scott stated he is unsure of the exact reasoning but noted that a standard block is 600 ft by 600 feet and it may be that the City was enacting a cul-de-sac length standard that match with a standard block length. Council member Turner added that he was a member of the City Council at the time the standard was enacted, and he remembers a debate between 450 feet and 600 feet. The mayor at the time was an engineer and he had quite a bit of input, as did the fire marshal. He indicated he does not recall a review of the international fire code at that time to determine if the City's standard would be in accordance with that standard.

Council Member Barker, who is also the Fire Marshal for the local Fire District, stated it is true that the international fire code allows for cul-de-sac lengths of 750 feet, but several standards in that code are much different than City standards for other types of infrastructure. For example, minimum Road whips in the international fire code are much lower than North Ogden City standards. The same is true for a turning radius at the end of a cul-de-sac. He emphasized that the standards included in the international fire code are simply minimum standards and it is not uncommon for cities to implement standards that are much more strict. He stated he has been undecided on this matter, but emphasized that the decision made by the City Council will not only be applied to one specific instance. Rather, it will set a precedent for other developers to seek similar variances or code amendments to benefit their development project. He indicated he feels there are other options available to Mr. Mackley. For example, it may be an option to create a longer cul-de-sac as long as it includes a stub road for future development of nearby vacant ground. Something similar was recently done in the nearby Fernwood development. The City Council examined Fernwood to gain an understanding of the possible road layout that could be used for the Mackley property.

Council Member Turner wondered if it may be an option to provide for a variance to the 600-foot cul-de-sac length under certain circumstances. Mr. Scott indicated that is an option, but noted that any standard included in the City code must be applicable and enforceable. He indicated that each property is unique and that is the reason the City adopted a special exception ordinance that would provide for variances to certain

development standards depending on conditions present on the property. However, that ordinance does not allow for a special exception relating to cul-de-sacs. If the council would like to consider changing that ordinance at this time, staff can consider an amendment to that section of the City code and present options to the Planning Commission and City Council.

The Council engaged in philosophical discussion and debate regarding the appropriateness of considering an amendment to the ordinance governing cul-de-sac length standards. There was a focus on the need to ensure the safety of residents owning homes located on a cul-de-sac.

Council Member Swanson wondered if it may be appropriate to consider a special exception for infill developments. City Administrator/Attorney Call indicated language providing for a special exception for infill properties could be included in the ordinance. Council Member Swanson indicated that type of language may provide some flexibility for owners of infill properties.

High-level discussion of the potential need for a code amendment continued, with the Council ultimately concluding to direct staff to draft a proposed ordinance for consideration by the Planning Commission and City Council that would provide for a special exception to cul-de-sac lengths for infill properties. Council Members Barker and Stoker expressed that they are not presently comfortable with an amendment to the cul-de-sac lengths to allow for cul-de-sacs up to 750 feet, but they are willing to consider a recommendation from the Planning Commission regarding a possible ordinance amendment. Council Member Swanson stated he is not comfortable applying a change to the standard to the entire City, but he is willing to consider a special exception for infill properties. Council Member Turner agreed.

Council Member Swanson motioned to refer the item back to Planning Commission for them to hold a public hearing to explore special exceptions to the cul-de-sac standard for infill situations. Council Member Turner seconded the motion.

Voting on the motion:

Council Member Barker	aye
Council Member Cevering	aye
Council Member Stoker	aye
Council Member Swanson	aye
Council Member Turner	aye

The motion passed unanimously.

3. PUBLIC DISCUSSION ON GARBAGE AND RECYCLING PROGRAM

City Attorney/Administrator Call provided an introduction to the discussion by reviewing the results of the public survey regarding the City's recycling program.

Council Member Stoker expressed concern about some confusing and conflicting information provided about recyclable materials; one communication to residents through the Standard-Examiner indicated that glossy magazines cannot be recycled; yet, a later article in the North Ogden Connection magazine indicated that magazines can be recycled so long as the cover is removed. Mr. Call indicated that several residents also expressed concern about confusing information relative to recyclable materials. Public Works Director Espinoza added that the City has received conflicting information from the two contractors that provide the City's recycling program; it is difficult for City staff to communicate clear information to the public when the service providers are providing confusing information. The Council then discussed options for enhancing the City's communication with residents regarding the City's recycling program. Council Member Covering indicated he feels email is the most effective communication method and he asked that City Administration investigate opportunities for gathering email addresses for residents in order to reach more households.

4. DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION TO CONSIDER PROPOSED UTILITY RATES

A staff memo from Finance Director Nelson indicated that historically the City has realized significant savings in the Solid Waste Fund by sponsoring a recycling program. This savings was possible because recyclable waste was accepted at no cost to the City, while regular garbage was dumped at a cost per ton. In 2017, things changed. The market for recyclable waste declined. Starting in October 2017, the City could not dispose of recyclable waste without paying a fee. At present, the City pays to dump recyclable waste at a rate just slightly less than the fee to dump regular garbage. Because of this change in the recycling market, the City Council and staff have discussed the need to increase garbage rates as well as the possibility of eliminating the recycling program. As directed, staff has sought input from the public through an online survey. Additional public input will be sought during a public comment period at the May 15, 2018 City Council meeting.

OPTION #1: Increase monthly rate to cover increased expenses – In order to cover the increased operational costs in the Solid Waste Fund, an increase to the monthly garbage rate in the amount of \$0.49 would be required.

OPTION #2: Eliminate Recycling – It was assumed that eliminating the recycling program would help the City to realize savings by reducing the cost of hauling waste. Republic Services, the City's current waste hauler, indicated that the contract would need to be renegotiated with higher rates if the City decided to eliminate the recycling program. This would likely wipe out the possible savings. Staff also discussed the

implications of eliminating the program. Several thousand recycle cans would likely have to be picked up and either stored or disposed of. Then if the recycling market improved, it would be costly to restart the program.

Based on the analysis of the possibility of eliminating the recycling program, it is not certain that savings could be achieved. In addition to this, the majority of residents that have responded to the online survey indicate that they would rather pay a little more each month to be able to continue the curbside recycling program. Therefore, our staff recommendation at this time is to continue with the current recycling program with an increase to the monthly garbage/recycling rate in the amount of \$0.49. Recycling and garbage expenses will continue to be monitored and contracts revisited when they come due.

By way of information, proposed rate increases for all utility funds are summarized in the table below. The total proposed monthly increase is \$5.87, plus an increase of \$2.35 to the rate for 2nd recycling cans.

FY2019 Proposed Utility Rate Increases					
	Current Rates	Proposed	Operations Increase	Depreciation Increase	Total Increase
Water	\$13.35	\$14.97	\$0.73	\$0.89	\$1.62
Central Weber	\$15.76	\$16.52	\$0.76	\$0	\$0.76
Sewer	\$8.45	\$9.20	\$0.26	\$0.49	\$0.75
Storm	\$9.28	\$11.53	\$0.59	\$1.66	\$2.25
Trash	\$11.84	\$12.33	\$0.49	\$0	\$0.49
TOTAL	\$58.68	\$64.55	\$2.83	\$3.04	\$5.87

2 nd Can	\$19.27	\$19.27	\$0
2 nd Recycling	\$2.65	\$5.00	\$2.35

Mr. Nelson reviewed his staff memo and indicated that the proposed utility rates are reflected in the tentative budget, which has been accepted by the Council. City Attorney/Administrator Call indicated that final action on the rates can be taken in June at the time the Council adopts the final budget for Fiscal Year (FY) 2018-2019.

5. PRESENTATION OF FINANCIAL QUARTERLY REPORT

Finance Director Nelson provided the Council with an overview of the City's quarterly financial report for the quarter ended March 2018; the report provided information regarding sales tax trends, revenues and expenditures by fund, and General Fund expenditures to date. He indicated that sales tax is one of the largest, yet most volatile,

revenue streams for the City's budget; current trends indicate an excess of revenues when compared to the anticipated revenues included in the Fiscal Year (FY) 2018 budget. He then indicated that expenditures appear to be exceeding revenues at this point in time, but that is due to a lag in revenue collections. However, the report has made City Administration aware of the need to consider amendments to the FY2018 budget in order to correct any instance where revenues may not cover expenditures in a given line item.

6. **DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION TO CONSIDER A BEER LICENSE FOR MAVERIK**

City Recorder Spendlove reported that Maverik has applied for a beer license; she and Police Chief Call have reviewed the application and determined that Maverik complies with City regulations and should be granted the license. She recommended approval of the license.

Council Member Swanson motioned to approve the beer license for Maverik. Council Member Stoker seconded the motion.

Voting on the motion:

Council Member Barker	aye
Council Member Cevering	aye
Council Member Stoker	aye
Council Member Swanson	aye
Council Member Turner	aye

The motion passed unanimously.

7. **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

Susan Clements, 668 E. 3125 N., discussed the City's recycling program and asked if there are other cities that contract with Recycled Earth for their recycling program. Public Works Director Espinoza indicated that all other cities in Weber County have a contract with Recycled Earth. There are other service providers in the region, but the cost associated with transferring the City's recyclable materials to another location would render the program cost prohibitive. Ms. Clements suggested that the City partner with other cities to develop a public campaign that will communicate to the residents the regulations followed by Recycled Earth; this information could be standardized and published in the Standard-Examiner in order to clear up any confusion. She stated this is a national issue that many other communities are dealing with and she hopes that the City will still hold a public meeting or open house event to help educate residents. She also suggested that a video message be recorded and sent to all residents through the Express

Bill Pay service that the City uses to allow residents to pay their utility bills electronically.

Sean Casey, 2444 Barker Parkway, stated it would be nice for the residents to have additional information about the City's waste removal services; residents need to understand exactly what can be recycled as well as the general refuse stream for discarded items. He agreed with Council Member Cevering's concerns about the need to improve communication; it would be nice for all information to be centrally located. The City's magazine is cluttered and it is difficult to find information and the City's website has different information on many different pages. He agreed that email communication or other electronic communication is most effective and there are options for increasing the number of residents that are willing to receive electronic communication from the City. He stated that clearer and more concise information, as well as a centralized message, will improve communication with residents and reduce the time and energy the City will spend addressing this issue.

8. COUNCIL/MAYOR/STAFF COMMENTS

Council Member Stoker asked when the Maverik store will be open for business. City Attorney/Administrator Call indicated he has not heard an actual date, but has heard that Maverik will open in the middle of June.

9. ADJOURNMENT

Council Member Stoker motioned to adjourn the meeting and convene in MBA Meeting. Council Member Swanson seconded the motion.

Voting on the motion:

Council Member Barker	aye
Council Member Cevering	aye
Council Member Stoker	aye
Council Member Swanson	aye
Council Member Turner	aye

The motion passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 7:37 p.m.

M. Brent Chugg, Mayor

S. Annette Spendlove, MMC
City Recorder

Date Approved

MBA AGENDA

1. DISCUSSION OF THE TRANSFER OF PROPERTY FROM THE MBA TO NORTH OGDEN MUNICIPAL CORPORATION WITH APPROPRIATE DEED RESTRICTING LANGUAGE

City Administrator/Attorney Call reported that the Council discussed this matter previously; the proposed quit claim deed will transfer property at Barker Park from the Municipal Building Authority (MBA) to the City. An associated deed restriction will ensure that no residential buildings shall be constructed on the property in the future and no new above ground public utility facilities shall be constructed. There are two deeds to represent the two Barker Families that are descendants of the Barker Family who originally sold the property to the City.

Trustee Barker requested a slight change to the language in the deed that references his family as the descendants; he asked that his name be followed by 'son of Max Barker', given that there will likely be more Ryan Barkers in his family. Mr. Call made the change. Trustee Barker then asked for clarification of the types of buildings that can be constructed on the property so long as the buildings are related to the City's Parks and Recreation Department. Mr. Call stated that classification includes boweries, parks equipment storage facilities, restrooms, or buildings considered accessory to the amphitheater.

Trustee Swanson moved to approve the quit claim deed transferring property at Barker Park from the MBA to North Ogden City, with the appropriate deed restricting language. Trustee Turner seconded the motion.

Trustee Barker indicated he will recuse himself from voting given that his name is listed on one of the deed documents.

Voting on the motion:

Trustee Barker	recused
Trustee Cevering	aye
Trustee Stoker	aye
Trustee Swanson	aye
Trustee Turner	aye

The motion passed.

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no public comments.

3. ADJOURNMENT

Trustee Barker motioned to adjourn the meeting. Trustee Swanson seconded the motion.

Voting on the motion:

Trustee Barker	aye
Trustee Cevering	aye
Trustee Stoker	aye
Trustee Swanson	aye
Trustee Turner	aye

The motion passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 7:47 p.m.

M. Brent Chugg, Mayor

S. Annette Spendlove, MMC
City Recorder

Date Approved