

## NORTH OGDEN CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

March 22, 2011

The North Ogden City Council convened in regular session on March 22, 2011 at 5:30 p.m. in the North Ogden City Council Chambers, 505 East 2600 North. Notice of time, place and agenda of the meeting was delivered to each member of the City Council, posted on the bulletin board at the municipal office and posted to the Utah State Website on March 17, 2011. Notice of the annual meeting schedule was published in the Standard-Examiner on January 1, 2011.

PRESENT:	Richard G. Harris	Mayor
	Martha Harris	Council Member
	Wade Bigler	Council Member
	Brent Taylor	Council Member
	Ron Flamm	Council Member (arrived at 5:38pm)
	Carl Turner	Council Member
STAFF PRESENT:	Edward O. Dickie III	City Manager
	Annette Spendlove	City Recorder/HR Director
	Dave Carlson	City Attorney
	Debbie Cardenas	Finance Director
	Craig Barker	Community Development Director
	Julia LaSeure	Deputy City Recorder
	Mel Blanchard	Public Works Director
	Scott Felter	Public Works Maintenance Supervisor
	Bill Bernard	City Treasurer
VISITORS:	Sherry Bernard	David Snider
	Rachel Snider	Dave Hulme
	Jim Harris	R. Lynn Thomas
	Chase W. Thomas	Mike Norseth
	Bruce Higley	Cami Vanderpool
	Brennen Vanderpool	Rachel Trotter
	Janis Stenquist	Melanie Rhode
	Lisa Payne	David Bailey
	Scott Wilding	Jason Loveless
	Daniel Garcia	

### WELCOME

Mayor Harris welcomed everyone in attendance. He then gave the invocation and led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

1. Consideration to approve minutes of the March 8, 2011 City Council meeting.
2. Consideration and/or action to approve business licenses.

**Council Member Harris moved to approve consent agenda items 1 and 2. Council Member Turner seconded the motion.**

**Voting on the motion:**

<b>Council Member Harris</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Bigler</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Taylor</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Turner</b>	<b>yes</b>

**Motion passed.**

**PUBLIC COMMENTS.**

There were no public comments.

**4. PRESENTATION OF 10 YEAR SERVICE PIN TO BILL BERNARD.**

Debbie Cardenas, Finance Director, stated that Bill Bernard was working here when she started with the City. She said the City can rest assured that Bill will make sure that the City’s money is as safe as possible. He constantly monitors the investments, diligently watches over the interest rates and does a really good job. He is invaluable. She said she depends on him a great deal. Congratulations to Bill.

Bill Barnard thanked everyone and introduced his wife, Sherry.

**5. PRESENTATION OF 30 YEAR SERVICE PIN TO SCOTT FELTER.**

Mel Blanchard, Public Works Director, said that BYU has Jimmer Fredette and North Ogden City has Scott Felter. He said Scott doesn’t just serve public works; he serves all the departments within the City. He has so much talent and he shares that talent. Mel said he was amazed when he started here how young Scott was and how much he knew. Scott started with North Ogden City in 1981. 30 years ago. Scott started when North Ogden was much smaller than it is right now. It doesn’t matter where you go; he has had his hands in it. Mel stated there are five guys in public works that weren’t born when Scott started here. When you think about his 30 years, you have to think of all the things that are required over and above in public works and all the miles he has plowed. Mel said the City could hire someone in Scott’s place but could not hire someone to replace him.

Scott Felter introduced his wife and three children.

**6. CONSIDERATION OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO ALLOW BACKYARD CHICKENS IN CERTAIN ZONES WITHIN NORTH OGDEN CITY.**

Craig Barker, Community Development Director, reminded the City Council that they had a public hearing at the last meeting on this and it has not been changed. The City Council has had time to digest what was presented at that time. He said one thing that was discussed was the fees. It was only proposed at \$5 and he said there will be at some time some enforcement cost.

**Council Member Harris moved to keep the permit and \$5 fee as part of the ordinance. Council Member Turner seconded the motion.**

Council Member Taylor said he thinks it is a good idea to have a fee on there at least initially and feels \$5 is a reasonable amount. He looked at other Cities ordinances and some are much more.

**Voting on the motion:**

<b>Council Member Flamm</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Bigler</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Turner</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Harris</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Taylor</b>	<b>yes</b>

**Motion passed.**

Craig Barker mentioned the yard setbacks in the various zones. He said our smallest lots will be 8,000 sq. ft. The ordinance will require a 10 ft. setback for the coop; not necessarily the run. That setback will provide some buffer to those who may not be in favor of backyard chickens. It will not necessarily mean that the coop will be in the middle of the yard as most of the smallest lots are 80 ft. wide. There was some discussion regarding the minimum setback, which would be 3 ft. If the Council doesn't choose to go with 10 ft., the minimum will be at least 3 ft.

Council Member Bigler asked what the definition of a structure is and said that a dog kennel can be within 1 ft. of property line. Craig Barker said that kennels are addressed somewhere else entirely. He said we would have to address what a dog run is vs. a structure. Some people talk about kennels where they keep their dogs and those can be pretty fancy and entirely enclosed. Council Member Bigler said that is why he is asking the question. Craig said it is up to the Code Enforcement Officer to interpret and if it goes to Court, the Judge will tell us what a dog run is. Council Member Bigler said he is not sure why a chicken coop couldn't match that. He said that some yards will be affected negatively by the 10 ft. setback requirement. Craig said there is nothing magic about the distance; in zoning distance is often just a buffering factor.

**Council Member Harris said Council Member Flamm had a good point about the existing accessory building being converted to a coop and to be in compliance with other setbacks, she moved to change the 10 ft. setback to 3 ft. and treat a coop as any other accessory building. Council Member Bigler seconded the motion.**

**Voting on the motion:**

<b>Council Member Bigler</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Taylor</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Turner</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Harris</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Flamm</b>	<b>yes</b>

**Motion passed.**

Craig Barker talked about the distance from an existing dwelling on a residential lot stating the ordinance requires any structure to be 6 ft. minimum. That is the current standard and there is no proposed change but it is in a different section. He is just informing the City Council of that requirement. A wooden coop would be 3 ft. from property line and 6 ft. from the house on the same property.

**Council Member Flamm referred to the sections regarding setbacks and stated that would need to be changed to match the accessory buildings. Craig said that is correct. Council Member Flamm moved that the change be made to conform with accessory buildings. Council Member Harris seconded the motion.**

**Voting on the motion:**

<b>Council Member Bigler</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Taylor</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Turner</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Harris</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Flamm</b>	<b>yes</b>

**Motion passed.**

Craig Barker said there are two zones where chickens are already allowed, RE-20 and R-1-8AG, on one acre or larger parcels. We are not proposing any changes to that. Joel Grasmeyer has made some suggestions because there are some unclear areas in that but we are not proposing to address that right now. Craig said he intends to address those with the Planning Commission within the next couple meetings. We are not proposing any changes to those two zones that already allow the keeping of chickens. That means right now they have to be kept on a parcel that is one acre or larger. Some people were concerning that we are changing that; we are not going to change anything.

Council Member Bigler said on parcels one acre and up we are not changing any of that? Craig said no, not in those two zones, RE-20 and R-1-8AG. Now we have to ask the question, for the larger pieces that aren't in those two zones, we need to talk about bringing them up to those allowances. Council Member Flamm said it isn't really clear that way. Council Member Bigler said any existing ordinances with chickens will not be diminished in the number of chickens that they can have; it will remain the same. Craig said that is correct we are making it equal with what else is out there.

Council Member Taylor asked whether this new ordinance would allow more chickens than the existing ordinance. Craig said no, it is based on the size of your parcel. The current ordinance states on more than five (5) acres, an aggregate of a total of two (2) units of group A, B or C, or a combination thereof, may be kept per acre.

Group A: Four (4) horses or cows, or combination thereof.

Group B: Four (4) sheep or goats.

Group C: Five (5) rabbits, or thirty (30) chickens, or thirty (30) pheasants, or ten (10) turkeys, or ten (10) ducks, or five (5) geese, or ten (10) pigeons.

Mayor Harris said the proposed ordinance does change RE-20 and R-1-8AG to allow chickens on less than 1 acre.

Craig Barker said section 7-E-2 and 3 will be changed to state that they apply to all zones except RE-20 and R-1-8AG. Craig referred to the number of chickens that would be allowed. We go from 8,000 sq. ft. to 12,500 sq. ft. We used a flat number of six but if you look at all the different ordinances out there the numbers are all over. There is nothing magic about that number and it is up to City Council to decide.

Council Member Taylor said he would like to see some flexibility for more chickens. He said any lot under an acre is very different than a 1/5 of an acre and he suggested more categories. Salt Lake City allows 15 chickens on any size lot provided the coop is a certain amount of sq. ft. and the run is a certain amount of sq. ft. It seems like they did that for simplicity's sake. They originally had more categories but they changed that. He said he would like to see a higher amount.

Council Member Bigler said he would like to see under an acre be increased to 10 chickens partly because many people have said that you have to buy chicks in bulk. Craig Barker asked if it is the same everywhere. He said he has been to IFA and has seen a big box of chicks. Council Member Bigler said that is the preference

**Council Member Harris moved to change the number of chickens allowed under E1 from no more than six hens to no more than 10 as long as the owner can still meet the requirements in item 4. Council Member Bigler seconded the motion.**

**Council Member Taylor proposed an amendment allowing up to 10 chickens on properties up to ½ acre and more than ½ acre up to an acre allowing up to 15 chickens. Council Member Bigler seconded the motion.**

**Voting on the motion:**

<b>Council Member Turner</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Harris</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Flamm</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Bigler</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Taylor</b>	<b>yes</b>

**Motion passed.**

**Council Member Flamm said it seems to him that if we are going to allow 15 chickens on an acre then we would increase the limit on the larger lots and allow 15 chickens per acre. He moved to change items 7-E 2 and 3 to 15 chickens per acre. Council Member Turner seconded the motion.**

Council Member Taylor asked what happens on a 1.9 acre lot. Craig Barker said it generally is rounded down.

**Voting on the motion:**

<b>Council Member Harris</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Flamm</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Bigler</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Taylor</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Turner</b>	<b>yes</b>

**Motion passed.**

Council Member Flamm said we have in there the selling of eggs in prohibited. He said he feels like if they go to the effort of having the chickens, the family is probably not going to be eating that many eggs. Craig Barker said the thing to be aware of is that when he talked to the state regarding the selling of eggs; they only get involved if you are a wholesaler selling them. It may open the coop owner up to some litigation related to quality of eggs that would become the homeowner's issue. Council Member Flamm made a motion to strike the restriction on the selling of eggs.

Council Member Bigler said if someone has a smaller lot they can sell them but if they have larger lot they can't. Mayor Harris said right now, the way the ordinance reads, that is correct.

**Council Member Flamm moved to strike 7-1-d prohibiting the selling of eggs. Council Member Bigler seconded the motion.**

Council Member Taylor said we already addressed that in RE-20 and R-1-8-AG this is not applicable. Craig said that is correct. Council Member Taylor asked, if we strike this, what would it fall under, home occupations? Craig Barker said we have never regulated the selling of produce grown on a residential lot. Mayor Harris said in any zone someone could sell produce they sell at their home. Craig Barker said that is correct.

Council Member Harris said the difference she sees is that those other produce items are seasonal this could be ongoing. Also, there is the potential for not just young children selling these things as with a lemonade stand. Craig Barker said we currently do not enforce lemonade stands. Council Member Harris asked wouldn't they need a home occupation license if they had 60 chickens. Craig Barker said we have never required that.

Council Member Bigler said he could see that a couple neighbors to be regular customers. It's not going to be an operation like Lee's. He said he doesn't see a problem with the smaller lots.

**Voting on the motion:**

<b>Council Member Flamm</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Bigler</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Taylor</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Turner</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Harris</b>	<b>yes</b>

**Motion passed.**

Council Member Taylor said he is concerned with the rights of the neighbors being protected. Is it an accepted fact that the City has the right to inspect the coop, run, etc.? Craig Barker stated that 7-E-4-k would allow that. He said we have an Administrative Court that could be used to enforce that. If we have a complaint, a compliance officer goes out and gives them a citation. The Court can then determine whether they are in violation and, if so, the permit can be pulled.

Council Member Bigler asked about the wording "up to 5 acres" and "5 acres or more". Craig Barker said it will be changed to "up to 5 acres" and "more than 5 acres"; which will make it clearer.

Council Member Taylor suggested, regarding properties of one to 5 acres and more than 5 acres, the new requirements for the coop, 20 ft. from property line, 50 ft. from any dwelling on the same lot, 75 ft. from any dwelling on an adjacent lot and 100 ft. from any public street are more prohibitive. Craig Barker said it is not any more prohibitive than any other lot; those zones already have those standards and in his mind it is no different. Craig said he doesn't know why it should change but it is up to the Council.

Council Member Flamm asked, regarding lots of one to five acres and lots more than five acres, would those people be able to have an accessory building closer to the property line than a chicken coop is allowed. Craig Barker said it is the same for accessory buildings. Council Member Flamm said maybe we should look at this the same way. The distance from the property line should be the same. Craig said you have to consider how big an acre parcel is. In an R-1-10 zone the minimum lot width is 100 ft., which means the property has to be 430 ft. long to be an acre. He said he doesn't think it is crowding to require the larger setbacks.

Mayor Harris said he thought we have the same number of chickens on the larger lots. Craig Barker said on more than 5 acres you may keep a total of two units of group a, b, or c, or a combination thereof per acre. That's 30 chickens per acre. He said you can make the zones the same and if he were a chicken

farmer he would like to have the same allowance for chickens. He suggested that the Council make it exactly the same.

Council Member Taylor said that would increase cows, pheasants, ducks, etc. Craig Barker said no, this is only talking about chickens.

Council Member Bigler asked what the ordinance says about accessory buildings. Craig said it allows accessory buildings 3 ft. from property line even on the larger properties. It only changes when you get into the keeping of animals and fowl.

Council Member Harris asked if we use Council Member Flamm's suggestion that a coop is treated as an accessory building throughout all zones on all sizes of property would that make it better. Council Member Taylor said yes if we keep the 20 ft. distance requirement from a dwelling on an adjacent lot. Council Member Harris said as far as placement of the building we could treat a coop as an accessory building in all zones.

**Council Member Taylor moved that we strike paragraphs 2b and 3b and replace those with the language that the City will treat the coops as accessory buildings but with the special requirement that they be 20 ft. from a dwelling on an adjacent lot. Council Member Bigler seconded the motion.**

**Voting on the motion:**

<b>Council Member Flamm</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Bigler</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Taylor</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Turner</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Harris</b>	<b>yes</b>

**Motion passed.**

Council Member Taylor said one last thing to consider is instead of trying to fold the RE-20 and R-1-8AG changes into this ordinance, just update those two zones to include the smaller lots together and do this completely and separately from the others. Mayor Harris clarified that Council Member Taylor is suggesting changing those two zones and remove the reference to RE-20 and R-1-8AG. Council Member Taylor made a motion that we update the RE-20 and R-1-8AG ordinances separately from this ordinance but consistent with the discussion we have had tonight. Council Member Harris seconded the motion.

Council Member Harris asked if this is considered a zoning change. Craig Barker said yes. Council Member Harris asked if it would then require a public hearing and Planning Commission approval. Craig Barker replied that it would.

Council Member Harris withdrew her second. Council Member Taylor withdrew the motion.

Mayor Harris said all of this information will be put into a clean copy and be brought back to the City Council at the next meeting.

7. **CONSIDERATION OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO ADD THE LANGUAGE FOR THE PURPOSE OF A SIGN ORDINANCE.**

Craig Barker said this is the proposal from the Economic Development Committee that was recommended by the Planning Commission for the purpose of the sign regulations. The City Council has reviewed it and held a public hearing and there were no public comments.

**Council Member Harris move to approve Ordinance 2011-04 amending the zoning ordinance to add the language for the purpose of a sign ordinance. Council Member Taylor seconded the motion.**

**Voting on the motion:**

<b>Council Member Taylor</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Turner</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Harris</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Flamm</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Bigler</b>	<b>yes</b>

**Motion passed.**

8 **CONSIDERATION OF A PETITION FROM LYMAN BARKER REGARDING MAKING THE PRIVATE ROADS IN LEWIS PEAK PRUD PUBLIC.**

Craig Barker presented the City Council with a drawing of the plan which shows the current public road in red and the current private road in blue. He said the private road is built to our public road standards so if you drove down there you wouldn't be able to tell any difference. The Developer and one property owner are here. Approval would make these roads public throughout the subdivision. Lyman Barker has a developer who wants to buy this subdivision. Craig said the Council may want to receive public comments tonight; staff sees no reason not to consider that.

Council Member Harris said, in a situation like this, it might be appropriate to schedule a public hearing; however, seeing as how we have both entities represented tonight, we could let them both speak and the City Council would be better prepared to consider this.

Mayor Harris suggested that the Council hear from these folks tonight.

David Bailey represents Destination Homes and they would like to buy the lots from Lyman Barker. He was expecting Mr. Barker to be here tonight. He said they were approached. This project was approved with a 55 plus age bracket targeted but that has not gone well for Lyman. As they came in to dealing with Lyman Barker, their intent would be to change the market and do two stories with more sq. ft. He said architecturally that would need to be approved through the Planning Commission and they will submit a packet for the meeting on April 6<sup>th</sup>. Mayor Harris asked what that has to do with the roads. Mr. Bailey said changing the project would make it unfeasible to require an HOA fee that would take care of the roads. They want to make it so the HOA just maintains the private grounds. Mayor Harris said the 55 plus requirement is not part of this PRUD anymore. Craig Barker said that is correct as it was changed last year.

Council Member Bigler asked what's in it for the developer to have the private road to begin with. David Bailey said typically you get a smaller road with means less asphalt and less cost but not in this case.



Craig Barker said we used to have smaller roads in our PRUDs. About 10 years ago we had some developments were coming to us to take them over so we came up with a city standard. Council Member Taylor said traditionally in PRUDs amenities are listed somewhere. He asked are the private roads in that list? Craig Barker said no, there is a park in the center and walking path. Mike Norseth, 1546 N 775 E, said he is the sole resident in Lewis Peak Subdivision and they love it. He said since the road is built to City standards he doesn't see any liability in the City taking it over except the cost and maintenance. He said he presented a discussion to the Planning Commission last week and he has some heartburn with going with the proposed new subdivision plan. Mayor Harris said his concern tonight is the roads.

**Council Member Harris moved that the private roads in Lewis Peak PRUD become public. Council Member Bigler seconded the motion.**

Craig Barker said the developer will create a road dedication plat which will be signed by the City and two owners, and then recorded with Weber County.

**Voting on the motion:**

<b>Council Member Turner</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Harris</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Flamm</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Bigler</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Taylor</b>	<b>yes</b>

**Motion passed.**

Council Member Harris said she thinks there are some discussions concerning PRUDs and some details that perhaps need to be discussed by the City Council. She would like Staff to look at that and come back with some recommendations at the second meeting in May. Council Member Bigler agreed.

Mayor Harris said if the Council Members have questions they should get them to staff.

9. **CONSIDERATION AND/OR ACTION TO SET A PUBLIC HEARING TO RECEIVE COMMENTS REGARDING AMENDING SECTION 11-10-27, SITE PLAN APPROVAL, OF THE CITY'S ZONING ORDINANCE.**

Mayor proposed April 26<sup>th</sup> as the date to hold this public hearing.

**Council Member Flamm moved to set April 26<sup>th</sup> for a public hearing regarding amending section 11-10-27 of the City's Zoning Ordinance. Council Member Taylor seconded the motion.**

**Voting on the motion:**

<b>Council Member Harris</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Flamm</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Bigler</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Taylor</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Turner</b>	<b>yes</b>

**Motion passed.**

10. **CONSIDERATION AND/OR ACTION TO SET A PUBLIC HEARING TO RECEIVE COMMENTS REGARDING AMENDING SECTION 11-22-7 OF THE CITY'S ZONING ORDINANCE INCLUDING FREE-STANDING SIGNS, SIGN DESIGN STANDARDS AND BUILDING SIGNAGE CALCULATIONS.**

Mayor again proposed April 26<sup>th</sup>. Council Member Taylor moved to set a public hearing on April 26<sup>th</sup> regarding section 11-22-7 of the City's Zoning Ordinance. Council Member Bigler seconded the motion.

**Voting on the motion:**

Council Member Flamm	yes
Council Member Bigler	yes
Council Member Taylor	yes
Council Member Turner	yes
Council Member Harris	yes

**Motion passed.**

11. **PUBLIC/COUNCIL COMMENTS.**

Mike Norseth, 1546 N 775 E, said he appreciates the diligence of the City Council to sit through all these chicken ordinances. He said he was on the Planning Commission for Harrisville City for many years and they adopted an ordinance to allow chickens. He complimented the Council on their efforts.

Dave Hulme, 513 E 1700 N, suggested, regarding the PRUD discussion that some members of the Planning Commission would like to participate in that. He said the Council could let them speak that night or have a workshop. He would like to involve them however the Council feels is appropriate.

Mayor Harris asked if the workshop mode is agreeable for that date. Council Member Harris said depending what else is on the agenda she suggested a work session night such as the 1<sup>st</sup> Tuesday of June. Mayor Harris said he didn't want to wait that long and suggested that we try to make this work.

Council Member Taylor reminded everyone that the budget workshop is this Friday all day long. He hopes as many residents as can come do for any part of it. He stated that item 10, free standing signs, has been a major item coming out of the Economic Development Committee. It has been discussed for months and these are significant modifications. He said he hopes that anyone interested in that will come as well. The proposed changes are on the City's website.

Council Member Turner said the Parks and Trails Committee is working on changing some wording in the ordinance and that should be ready for the Council something this spring.

Annette Spendlove said, regarding Quail Ponds, that as people were coming in to sign the plat, we found several errors. As we talked with the Weber County Recorder's Office we were told they will not record it and they found more errors. They will need deeds for each of the lots. The resolution that the City Council passed had incorrect wording in it also and we will be bringing that back to the City Council on Friday, March 25<sup>th</sup>. She said the Developer and the Home owners are aware of this. Edward O. Dickie III said they are also aware they will not get a building permit until it is recorded. Mayor Harris explained this is a simple housekeeping issue so will not take much time at the budget workshop.

Edward O. Dickie III stated the budget retreat agenda will go out tonight. We are still waiting to hear from the insurance provide and are running through some numbers but we will try to get out an overview as soon as possible. Most of the information will be provided in the packet on Friday. He said in his last update he attached a letter to the Deer Meadows owners changing the CC&Rs so the City Council will no longer be the Municipal Building Association for that because we don't own any lots there anymore. We will bring that to the Council on the 25<sup>th</sup> to make it happen.

Mayor Harris said last week we took the Youth City Council to Utah State University for training. It was a great success; there were 29 Youth City Councils from around the State. They were all exceptionally well behaved. Our young people were exceptional in their behavior and their accomplishments. They put on a workshop for the other Youth City Councils. They have a Council challenge that takes several hours and our Youth Council took 7<sup>th</sup> place out of the 29 Councils. He said these kids do a lot of things later on also; two former members of our Youth Council were elected to Student Senates. Over the years we have had great success. He reminded everyone that Senator Hatch will be here on April 20<sup>th</sup> to honor our volunteers.

Council Member Bigler asked the Mayor to pass along his thanks to Mrs. Harris as she does a great job with the Youth Council.

Edward O. Dickie III said Orrin Hatch is coming to honor the Kiwanis and Civic League. He said we will have someone come in each year to honor our volunteers. Last year it was Governor Huntsman.

12. **CONSIDERATION AND/OR ACTION TO ENTER INTO A CLOSED MEETING TO DISCUSS THE PURCHASE, EXCHANGE OR LEASE OF REAL PROPERTY AND DISCLOSE THE APPRAISAL OR ESTIMATED VALUE OF A PROPERTY UNDER CONSIDERATION; TO BE HELD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF UTAH CODE 52-4-204-5.**

**Council Member Flamm moved to go into a closed meeting to discuss the exchange or lease of real property and disclose the appraisal or estimated value of a property under consideration. Council Member Bigler seconded the motion.**

**Voting on the motion:**

<b>Council Member Harris</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Flamm</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Bigler</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Taylor</b>	<b>yes</b>
<b>Council Member Turner</b>	<b>yes</b>

**Motion passed.**

**The closed meeting began at 7:33pm.**

**The regular meeting reconvened at 9:07pm.**

**DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION REGARDING PROPERTY ACQUISITION.**

Council Member Turner moved to set a public hearing for May 24<sup>th</sup> to borrow from the Enterprise Fund to purchase the McCormick property at 2750 N 550 E. Council Member Harris seconded the motion.

**Voting on the motion:**

Council Member Harris	yes
Council Member Flamm	yes
Council Member Bigler	no
Council Member Taylor	yes
Council Member Turner	yes

**Motion passed 4 to 1.**

**ADJOURNMENT.**

Council Member Turner moved to adjourn. Council Member Taylor seconded the motion.

**The meeting adjourned at 9:10pm.**

---

Richard G. Harris, Mayor

---

S. Annette Spendlove, CMC  
City Recorder

---

Date approved