

1 **NORTH OGDEN CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES**

2
3 November 22, 2011

4
5 The North Ogden City Council convened in regular session on November 22, 2011 at 5:30 pm in the North Ogden
6 City Council Chambers at 505 East 2600 North. Notice of time, place and agenda of the meeting was delivered to
7 each member of the City Council, posted on the bulletin board at the municipal office and posted to the Utah State
8 Website on November 21, 2011. Notice of the annual meeting schedule was published in the Standard-Examiner
9 on January 1, 2011.

10
11
12 **PRESENT:** Richard G. Harris Mayor
13 Wade Bigler Council Member
14 Ron Flamm Council Member
15 Carl Turner Council Member
16 Dave Hulme Temporary Council Member

17
18
19 **STAFF PRESENT:** Edward O. Dickie III City Manager
20 Annette Spendlove City Recorder/HR Director
21 Dave Carlson City Attorney/Economic Development Dir.
22 Debbie Cardenas Finance Director/Acting City Manager
23 Julia LaSeure Deputy City Recorder

24
25
26 **EXCUSED:** Martha Harris Council Member
27 Brent Taylor Council Member (deployed)

28
29
30 **VISITORS:** Ronald Wheeler Stephen Kendell
31 Richard Davidson Ron Harris
32 Marilyn Harris Jim Suhr
33 Joan Brown Don Brown
34 Don Mathewson Cheryl Stoker
35 Justin Fawson Lloyd Barker
36 Molly Rands Gary Rands
37 Toby Mileski Kent Bailey
38 Rich Kotter Dianne Clydesdale
39 Blake Welling Marlene Welling
40 David Meents John Arrington
41 Stacey Giatras Rachel Trotter
42 Lana Tolman Dale Anderson
43 Julie Anderson Denny Dunlap
44 Dan Carter

45
46 **WELCOME**

47
48 Mayor Harris welcomed everyone in attendance. Council Member Turner gave the invocation and led the
49 audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

51 **CONSENT AGENDA**

- 52 1. Consideration to approve Business Licenses.
- 53 2. Consideration and/or action to approve the minutes of the October 11, 2011 City Council meeting.
- 54 3. Consideration and/or action to approve the minutes of the October 25, 2011 City Council meeting.
- 55 4. Consideration and/or action to set December 13, 2011 as the date to hold a public hearing regarding
- 56 amendments to the budget.
- 57 5. Consideration and/or action to set December 13, 2011 as the date to hold a public hearing regarding
- 58 amendments to the Capital Improvements Plan.
- 59 6. Consideration and/or action to set December 13, 2011 as the date to hold a public hearing regarding
- 60 amendments to section 11-10-28 of the North Ogden City Code.
- 61 7. Consideration and/or action to set December 13, 2011 as the date to hold a public hearing regarding
- 62 possible CDBG projects.

63
64 **Council Member Flamm moved to approve the consent agenda. Council Member Turner seconded the**
65 **motion.**

66
67 Discussion on the motion:

68
69 Council Member Hulme said he has a proposed amendment to the minutes of October 25th. Mayor Harris said
70 those minutes will be pulled from the consent agenda so the motion then is to approve items 1, 2 and 4 – 7.

71
72 Council Member Bigler requested that the four public hearings planned for the middle of December be held after
73 the holiday. He feels it is important to give the residents an opportunity to come and be heard. To make it more
74 convenient he would like to put those off until January.

75
76 **Council Member Bigler made an amended motion to approve items 1 and 2 and table the public hearings**
77 **until after the holiday season. Council Member Hulme seconded the motion.**

78
79 Annette Spendlove, City Recorder, explained that there is a deadline on items 5 and 7 and those public hearings
80 must be held on December 13th for the City to apply for the Community Development Block Grant money on any
81 project we are considering. Council Member Bigler asked if someone could briefly explain what those are.
82 Mayor Harris said we need to open the budget and that is probably time sensitive. Numbers 5 and 7 are time
83 sensitive and we must have that approved before we can apply for CDBG (Community Development Block
84 Grants). Number 6 could wait but it would be wise to do that now because we are operating under that. Mayor
85 Harris suggested it is important to hold them Dec. 13th. Council Member Bigler said if they need to be held
86 before the end of the year that is fine. He said he would like for City Council to get some information on these
87 public hearings in the future before they vote on these. He indicated that the Council has the information on item
88 6 but they have not gotten any specifics on the others. Mayor Harris said there will be information in the packet in
89 the future. Council Member Bigler said the City Council votes whether to hold public hearings or not and it costs
90 \$200 to \$300 to notice each of the public hearings. If the Council has information they may decide not to hold a
91 public hearing at the time.

92
93 **Council Member Bigler withdrew his motion. Council Member Hulme said he agrees with that. Mayor**
94 **Harris said we are back to the original motion approving items 1, 2 and 4-7.**

95
96 **Voting on the motion:**

- 97 **Council Member Flamm** **yes**
- 98 **Council Member Bigler** **yes**
- 99 **Council Member Hulme** **yes**
- 100 **Council Member Turner** **yes**

101

102 **The motion passed unanimously.**
103 Regarding the minutes of October 25, 2011 Council Member Hulme said he noticed lines 148 through 161 talk
104 about the item being moved to the beginning of the agenda but it is in the middle of the agenda. As a matter of
105 fact, on line 128 he voted so that whole section needs to be moved up. Annette Spendlove stated the minutes will
106 be changed.

107
108 **Council Member Hulme moved to approve the minutes of October 25, 2011 with the amendment. Council**
109 **Member Flamm seconded the motion.**

110
111 **Voting on the motion:**
112 **Council Member Bigler** **yes**
113 **Council Member Hulme** **yes**
114 **Council Member Turner** **yes**
115 **Council Member Flamm** **yes**

116
117 **The motion passed unanimously.**

118
119 **8. PUBLIC COMMENTS.**

120
121 There were no public comments.

122
123
124 **9. CANVASS OF THE GENERAL ELECTION.**

125
126 Annette Spendlove, City Recorder, presented the canvass of the election returns (attachment A).

127
128 **Council Member Turner moved to approve the canvass of the general election. Council Member Flamm**
129 **seconded the motion.**

130
131 **Voting on the motion:**
132 **Council Member Hulme** **yes**
133 **Council Member Turner** **yes**
134 **Council Member Flamm** **yes**
135 **Council Member Bigler** **yes**

136
137 **The motion passed unanimously.**

138
139
140 **10. PUBLIC HEARING TO RECEIVE COMMENTS ON THE UTILITY RATES.**

141
142 Debbie Cardenas, Finance Director, explained that the utility rate study has been updated several times since we
143 originally completed it. It has been presented to the Council on three separate occasions. There have been several
144 variations since then as we had discussions on the possible bond issue. Now that the City will not be issuing
145 bonds this year we feel it is imperative to discuss the utility rates based solely on the fiscal health of the enterprise
146 funds themselves. The City needs to address upcoming expenses and future short and long term needs. The study
147 shows the rate increases for fiscal year 2011-2012 should be as follows: water – \$0, sewer – \$1.79 which
148 includes the Central Weber Sewer District (CWSD) increase, storm water – \$.25, solid waste – \$.27, for a total of
149 \$2.31. The gross rate increases are based on existing fund balances, equipment and the five year Capital
150 Improvement Plan including depreciation and operations with projected inflation. All of these factors have
151 changed and been updated since the scenario from last spring; the fact that we borrowed from the sewer fund also
152 affects the increase. She said the Council needs to understand that every action we take that affects the enterprise

153 funds can have a reaction on the rates. These increases are estimations and are based on the enterprise funds'
154 finances. The study shows projected increases through 2017. Last year the water fund had an operating loss of
155 \$115,908. Despite that after looking at cash flows and due to the large fund balance in the water fund we didn't
156 feel an increase to the water rate was necessary. Storm water had a loss of \$73,123. Unfortunately, we are
157 projecting another loss for the fiscal year that just ended June 30, 2011. We won't know for certain until the audit
158 is complete. She said she would like to see that the funds break even every year. Therefore, she informed Lewis,
159 Young, Robertson and Burningham (LYRB) that we estimate a shortfall in the water fund yet again for the fiscal
160 year ending June 30, 2011 and what that projected loss would be. They recommended a rate increase of \$.49
161 taking the total increase to \$2.80 if the Council chooses to approve the increases.

162
163 Mayor Harris stated part of that the increase is CWSD. Debbie Cardenas stated it is not broken out by which
164 portion is CWSD because they don't base that on units. Mayor Harris explained that is the pass through rate that
165 we take in for them and we have no choice in that matter. That increase goes straight to the CWSD and that is
166 one reason the fee is high because they are rebuilding the plant out there.

167
168 Council Member Hulme said that Mayor Harris mentioned we don't know how much of that increase the pass
169 through fee is. He asked if there is an easy way to estimate that. Debbie Cardenas said not if she goes by unit; if
170 she goes by unit it is even more than this increase is. We are not basing the increases on a per unit calculation.
171 She said she can go back and try to show that broken out. She said that we broke it out in the water bill when the
172 increase went into effect this past July and we would do that again before this goes through. Council Member
173 Bigler asked if she knows how much of the sewer increase the City is. Debbie Cardenas replied no; if she figures
174 out what the CWSD portion is then the rest of it would be the City.

175
176 Council Member Turner said Debbie Cardenas talked about an increase of \$2.31 for sewer but we are looking at
177 another 49 cents for the water. Debbie replied that is correct and when this was brought up we were not looking
178 at the increase in water.

179
180 Mayor Harris mentioned that the public hearing is being held tonight but there won't be a decision made tonight
181 because it was not an agenda item. Debbie Cardenas said these increases are based on the assumption that we will
182 have this loss in the water fund.

183
184 Council Member Bigler said when the sewer fund was borrowed from he asked what the balance was and he was
185 told over a million dollars. Debbie Cardenas said we are looking at future projects for the sewer fund. Council
186 Member Bigler asked how we determine how much needs to be in there. Debbie Cardenas said we gave LYRB
187 some scenarios on what we would like to have in the funds each year; some of these are based on what the
188 Council said they wanted. For instance the Council had said that each year we wanted to have at least \$500,000 in
189 the water fund. For the sewer they are also looking at keeping a figure of approximately \$600,000. Council
190 Member Bigler said we have quite a bit more than that. Don't we? Debbie Cardenas said we do but eventually it
191 is going to be drawn down based on the capital projects that the Council approved. Council Member Bigler said
192 this is continuing to collect \$100,000 a year extra for the public works facility. Debbie Cardenas replied that she
193 did not have them put that in. Council Member Bigler said we have been doing that. Debbie replied yes. Council
194 Member Bigler said if this increases, in other words residents are already paying more for their utilities than what
195 the utilities cost because we've been paying extra for that facility and that will continue on apparently. Debbie
196 said the rates were not increased to fund the \$100,000.

197
198 Mayor Harris said there is the fund balance that we need to keep healthy and it's based on inflation and proposed
199 capital improvement projects. Those include replacing worn out water lines and sewer lines. That's what those
200 rates are based on. Council Member Bigler said we are deducting the amount that residents were paying for the
201 extra \$100,000 a year because the City is not continuing that. Mayor Harris said we are not deducting it; we are
202 just not including it. Debbie Cardenas said we are not saving that \$100,000 a year anymore. Council Member
203 Bigler said that would be 'x' amount of dollars that everybody's utilities would go down if we didn't touch the

204 rates. If this next year we're not going to collect the extra \$100,000 in savings, everybody's utilities would go
205 down. Debbie Cardenas replied no, we never increased the rate to cover the \$100,000. Council Member Bigler
206 asked if we have had \$100,000 a year to save for the last six years. Debbie Cardenas said they projected more
207 than \$100,000; in other words the Council stated they wanted to at least have an excess of \$500,000 every year in
208 the water fund. You can decide if you want to use \$100,000 of that \$500,000. Council Member Bigler said he is
209 just trying to understand the numbers and what is happening with this. We had \$600,000 saved as of June of this
210 year according to the report last month. So \$100,000 a year extra above and beyond the utility usage has been
211 collected. We have been saving \$100,000 a year from collection of utilities for that project. Debbie Cardenas said
212 LYRB didn't include the \$600,000 in their fund balance because that was taken knowing that would be expended
213 for the public works complex. Council Member Bigler said he is asking from here on out we are already taking
214 extra from residents and now we are asking for more. Debbie Cardenas said we are not taking extra. We have not
215 projected collecting that anymore. The \$100,000 that we had been collecting we did not increase the rate in water
216 or sewer funds. We were just taking it from the fund balance. Mayor Harris said that is one of the reasons the
217 fund is not as healthy as it should be because we have been doing that over the years.
218

219 Edward O. Dickie III, City Manager, said the \$100,000 that has been going for the public works facility is now
220 going back into those funds so the question is did LYRB take that into consideration. He said if we didn't have
221 that \$100,000 these rates would be a little higher than they are.
222

223 Council Member Hulme asked if the sewer fund revenue includes the projected payback for the money that was
224 borrowed. Debbie Cardenas replied yes, roughly \$22,000 per year over ten years. Council Member Hulme asked
225 where that appears on the paperwork, is it part of the non-operating revenue. Edward O. Dickie III said a little
226 while ago we borrowed to help pay for the UV system at the pool. Council wanted to pay that back in five years
227 but we ended up paying that back in one year. So our goal is to try, if possible, to pay these back in a year or two
228 if we can. Council Member Hulme said we are probably just putting the \$22,000 on paper as a contingency.
229 Debbie Cardenas said that isn't projected as revenue, it's paid back to the loan and will be dumped into the fund
230 balance.
231

232 Council Member Bigler asked if the \$100,000 that has been going toward the public works facility that is no
233 longer going to be there could go in for two years and have that property paid off and the loan paid back. Debbie
234 Cardenas asked if he wants to raise the rates to collect the \$200,000 in two years. Council Member Bigler said
235 that is what he was saying before. It is being raised more than this if you take that \$100,000 out. He said right
236 now the residents are paying a certain amount for utilities and some is going into a savings to build the public
237 works facility. Debbie Cardenas said we have taken that from the fund balance. For instance, the water fund lost
238 \$115,000 last year, we didn't raise the rate to cover that \$100,000 it was just coming from the fund balance.
239

240 Mayor Harris said we are starting from a certain point in time. We started out with a certain amount in each of
241 those enterprise funds. We project certain revenue that we need to meet expenses and keep the fund balance up
242 and to meet inflation. Council Member Bigler asked if, before there is a vote, Council could get the numbers as to
243 where it is now and where we want it to be. Debbie Cardenas said we have what the 2010 figures are and the
244 audit will be completed soon. Council Member Bigler said he wants the current ones. He wants to know what the
245 Council is voting on. Debbie Cardenas asked for clarification on specifically what he wants. Council Member
246 Bigler said he wants to see the analysis; LYRB has done certain things and it has been done several times but it
247 has been updated continuously. He said what the Mayor just said makes sense to him but he would like to see
248 what the numbers are. Council Member Hulme asked whether the numbers will change from what the Council has
249 already received. Debbie Cardenas replied nothing will change except for the \$.49 for the water increase.
250 Council Member Hulme said what the Council has is current. Debbie Cardenas replied yes.
251

252 Mayor Harris opened the public hearing at 6:04pm.
253

254 Denny Dunlap, 245 E 3725 N, said he saw an article in the newspaper that he found very interesting. This year's
255 proposed increases are coming from the sewer, \$1.79 per month and \$.25 per month on both storm drain and
256 garbage. He asked why in the world this thing keeps going up all the time. The people that live here have to put
257 their wants and wishes on hold but the City just says 'we'll just raise taxes or the rates' or whatever. He said we
258 go through this all the time and it hasn't been too long ago we had this cathedral that was supposed to be built for
259 \$7 million or \$10 million whatever it was. Why do we have to keep going over this all the time? He said the
260 Council just doesn't get it or doesn't care what the people think. They've told you no and you're still trying to do
261 it. The utility rates would have increased by \$5 a month if the bond for the public works complex has gone
262 through at this time. What's going to happen is that it won't go through this time but a few months down the road
263 it'll come up again. He said we don't need excuses and we don't need that rotten building. It is incredible.
264

265 Dan Carter, 113 W. Elberta Dr., said he would like things made clearer when the agendas are written up. He has
266 no clue what a CDBG project is or what a UV is. What are all the acronyms? He said he always wonders why
267 people shorten things. There is a reason why; to slide it by? He asked the Council to tell it like it is. If the City
268 needs more money for utilities it's alright by him. The \$5 in the paper, he is sure it will be more than that. He
269 said he wishes they would just tell him what it is and be clearer with it.
270

271 Mayor Harris thanked Mr. Carter for his comments. He said ordinarily the Council wouldn't take questions but
272 he will explain this. CDBG is short for Community Development Block Grants which are used to finance capital
273 improvement projects in certain areas of the City and UV stands for ultraviolet which is because of the
274 cryptosporidium outbreak we had all over the state.
275

276 Richard Kotter, 536 E 2750 N, suggested, regarding CDBG, applying for a government grants to pay for the
277 public works facility. He said he has paid his taxes over the years and the money is in Washington DC looking
278 for a shovel ready project and that project is as shovel ready as any. That is his recommendation. They can
279 always say no. He said concerning utility rates and the services he receives, he gets more value out of it than the
280 money he pays. It doesn't hurt or bother him if the City increases the rates. He said he gets much more out of it
281 than what he has to pay for and this doesn't bother him at all.
282

283 Jon Arrington, 254 E 2900 N, said he knows that our rate at the sewer facility has gone up 25% a year for the last
284 three years that's how much their rate has increased to cover their investment out there. That is the reason the
285 sewer rate is going up so much. In the review that he has done in the area, we have one of the lowest utility rates
286 in the area. He said he talked to someone in South Ogden and theirs has gone up to \$90 a month. The \$45 he pays
287 is a really good bargain out here and he would rather see these analyses done to show that the service he demands
288 is going to cost him another \$3 a month. It's a good deal. The price of fuel has affected the rates for refuse pick
289 up too. He feels this is a minimal increase.
290

291 Steve Kendell, 1017 E 3025 N, said he doesn't remember the exact figures that Debbie Cardenas gave about water
292 projects or whatever it was going in the hole every year. He said he was an operations manager before he retired
293 and if his company was going in the hole every year he would be looking to see why rather than go looking for
294 more money? Are there ways to cut back? He agrees that the residents get their money's worth and then some
295 but if you're looking at that kind of shortfall every year he would look at why.
296

297 **Council Member Bigler moved to close the public hearing. Council Member Turner seconded the motion.**
298

299 **Voting on the motion:**
300

| | | |
|-----|------------------------------|------------|
| 301 | Council Member Flamm | yes |
| 302 | Council Member Bigler | yes |
| 303 | Council Member Hulme | yes |
| 304 | Council Member Turner | yes |

305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354

The motion passed unanimously.

11. UPDATE ON THE PARKS AND TRAILS COMMITTEE.

Dave Carlson, City Attorney, stated that when the controversy over Barker Park and whether there were any restrictions came up a decision was made that the City would place that property in a conservation easement and in order to do that it was determined that the City would create its own non-profit to manage that. The existing Parks and Trails Committee will do that. He said they have been working on that. That Committee is a very active group and they have given a lot of input. We have the Articles of Incorporation for that non-profit entity which he will file with the State of Utah this week. He said that is the first step toward getting the non-profit organized.

Council Member Bigler asked if the City Council will vote on that. Dave Carlson said when the time comes the Council will vote on that. Council Member Bigler asked what we are waiting for. Dave Carlson said you have to form a 501-C3 first. This is the first step toward getting it organized and recognized by the State of Utah, there are a couple more steps and then we will make application to the Internal Revenue Service.

12. CONSIDERATION OF THE DECEMBER 27, 2011 MEETING.

Annette Spendlove, City Recorder, asked the Council how they felt about having a meeting on December 27th.

Council Member Bigler moved to cancel the December 27, 2011 meeting. Council Member Hulme seconded the motion.

Voting on the motion:

| | |
|------------------------------|------------|
| Council Member Bigler | yes |
| Council Member Hulme | yes |
| Council Member Turner | yes |
| Council Member Flamm | yes |

The motion passed unanimously.

13. PUBLIC/COUNCIL COMMENTS.

Don Mathewson, 2277 N 515 E, said that CDBG means Federal money but the Federal government is essentially bankrupt. Mayor Harris said that is true in some areas and these program funds have been cut; we will be very lucky to get any. Mr. Mathewson said when he compares his utility bill to his other bills it doesn't compare. He feels good about what the City is trying to do. He supports the City Council's ideas of increasing the rates a little bit. The public works folks do a good job.

Nathan Kester, 1860 N 150 E, said he represents the Belle Isle Home Owners Association. He said last week they attended a Planning Commission meeting and were under the impression that it would be discussed by the City Council tonight. Mayor Harris said it goes to the Planning Commission and then comes to the City Council.

Annette Spendlove stated it will be on the December 13th meeting agenda.

355 Lloyd Barker, 1079 E 2950 N, said he is a business owner and times are a little hard. He is concerned about some
356 things. He has some rentals in North Ogden City and has held off raising the rents because those people are
357 struggling too. He has not raised the rates on them and he doesn't want to raise their rent because they will
358 probably go to some place in inner city Ogden to find something they can afford. His employees last year took a
359 20% cut in pay just to keep their jobs. He asked if anyone in the City has had to take a cut in pay. He said he had
360 to do away with his employee's dental insurance so we could continue to partially pay for their health insurance.
361 He said he doesn't think now is a good time to be raising rates. He is concerned about the good people of North
362 Ogden.

363
364 Debbie Cardenas said raising the rates isn't easy for any of us. She explained that water, sewer, storm water and
365 solid waste operate as businesses and are self-sustaining. City Council is responsible to ensure there is enough to
366 cover current operations and future needs and expenses. These expenses come in the form of daily operations,
367 equipment replacement, capital improvements including upgrading or replacing infrastructure that is old, worn out
368 or no longer in compliance due to changes in regulation, and depreciation. Capital improvements include water
369 lines, sewer lines, storm water runoff, detention basins, the waste pit, solid waste disposal, etc... Residents expect
370 a certain level of service to be provided by the City and the current rates do not cover the resources needed to
371 continue providing these services or meet future needs. Unfortunately, rate increases are necessary and the City
372 would like to try and ease the impact to the residents by having them absorb small rate increases on an annual
373 basis rather than a big one at one time. We have not raised rates since 2006. That is why future planning is so
374 important and why we have been trying to address this issue for the past three years. She pointed out that the
375 public works complex, the \$5 figure that is floating around out there, would have been in addition to the rate
376 increases we are asking for now not part of it.

377
378 Steve Kendell said we are going in to the winter season right now and from talking to the employees the City
379 doesn't start plowing the roads until there are three inches of snow. He wants to know where that is measured.
380 He said he drives a school bus for Weber County and the worst roads in the County are in North Ogden City.
381 Mayor Harris said the police make a determination but we start sanding and salting before that actually. Mr.
382 Kendell said he leaves home at 5:30 in the morning to pick up high school kids and he knows when he leaves
383 North Ogden City because the roads are plowed. He said where he lives he didn't see a plow for two days a few
384 years ago when we had a big snow storm and he had to use his tractor to clear the road to get in and out.

385
386 Council Member Turner congratulated Justin Fawson, Kent Bailey, Cheryl Stoker and John Arrington.

387
388 Council Member Bigler wished everyone a Happy Thanksgiving.

389
390 Council Member Flamm said regarding Mr. Kendall's comment, that once the police determines there is so much
391 snow they call public works to go out. Once they get there, they have cold trucks; they have to remove the snow,
392 start them up, get salt and sand and get moving. It all takes time; about 45 minutes. He said we need to realize
393 that if we don't provide the facilities to our people we will have to endure the time it takes to respond.

394
395
396 **14. ADJOURNMENT.**

397
398 **Council Member Bigler moved to adjourn. Council Member Flamm seconded the motion.**

399
400 **Voting on the motion:**

401
402 **Council Member Bigler** **yes**
403 **Council Member Hulme** **yes**
404 **Council Member Turner** **yes**
405 **Council Member Flamm** **yes**

406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423

The motion passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 6:32pm.

Richard G. Harris, Mayor

S. Annette Spendlove, MMC
City Recorder

Date approved

**CANVASS OF NORTH OGDEN CITY ELECTION RETURNS FOR
MUNICIPAL GENERAL ELECTIONS HELD TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2011**

I, S. Annette Spendlove, hereby certify that the returns received from all the polling districts in North Ogden City, Weber County, Utah for the Municipal General Election held November 8, 2011, have been publicly canvassed and the results are as follows:

NORTH OGDEN MUNICIPAL GENERAL ELECTION NOVEMBER 8, 2011 - FINAL

| | District 1 Precinct 1,2,4,& 11 | District 2 Precinct 7,8,10 & 12 | District 3 Precinct 3,5,6, & 9 | Total | % |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------|
| Number of Registered Voters | 3,141 | 3,307 | 3,438 | 9,886 | |
| Total Number of Registered Voters that Voted | 835 | 637 | 790 | 2,262 | |
| % of Registered Voters that Voted in this Election | 26.6% | 19.3% | 23.0% | 22.9% | |
| CANDIDATES | | | | | |
| Councilmember - 4 yr Term | | | | | |
| Kent Bailey | 594 | 440 | 617 | 1,651 | 26.6% |
| Brian S. Davis | 234 | 241 | 245 | 720 | 11.6% |
| Glenn Donnelson | 451 | 330 | 335 | 1,116 | 18.0% |
| Justin Fawson | 654 | 388 | 539 | 1,581 | 25.4% |
| Cheryl Stoker | 414 | 306 | 425 | 1,145 | 18.4% |
| Total Votes for 4-year Councilmember | 2,347 | 1,705 | 2,161 | 6,213 | 100.0% |
| Absentee Ballots | | | Paper at the Polls | | |
| Total Number Sent | 180 | | Total Number Cast | | 2 |
| Total Number Returned | 125 | | Total Number Counted | | 2 |
| Total Number Counted | 115 | | | | |

| Provisional Ballots | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|
| | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | Total |
| Total Number Cast | 4 | 3 | 8 | 15 |
| Total Number Counted | 4 | 2 | 7 | 13 |

| | |
|------------------|-----|
| Write-Ins | |
| | 320 |

| CANDIDATES | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|--------|
| NORTH VIEW FIRE BOARD | | | | | |
| A. John Arrington | 384 | 353 | 415 | 1152 | 57.60% |
| Jay Robert Johnson | 328 | 220 | 293 | 841 | 42.00% |

| Absentee Ballots | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Total Number Sent | 180 |
| Total Number Returned | 125 |
| Total Number Counted | 106 |

| Paper at the Polls | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Total Number Cast | 2 |
| Total Number Counted | 2 |

| Provisional Ballots | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|
| | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | Total |
| Total Number Cast | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| Total Number Counted | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |

| | |
|------------------|---|
| Write-Ins | |
| | 7 |



S. Annette Spendlove, MMC
City Recorder